















23 August 2013

By email: <a href="mailto:energy.submissions@esc.vic.gov.au">energy.submissions@esc.vic.gov.au</a>

Commissioners
Essential Services Commission
Level 37
2 Lonsdale Street
Melbourne 3000

Dear Commissioners,

# Submission to the Essential Services Commission Harmonisation of the Energy Retail Code and Guidelines with the National Energy Customer Framework Draft Decision

The undersigned organisations have jointly prepared our comment on the Essential Services Commission (the Commission) *Harmonisation of the Energy Retail Code and Guidelines with the National Energy Customer Framework Draft Decision* (Draft Decision).

The Victorian retail energy market is designed to work competitively with the informed choices of consumers placing competitive constraints on price and restricting inefficient market outcomes. Unlike other Australian retail energy markets, Victorian retail prices are not subject to a regulated price cap. In addition, Victoria is in the midst of a mandated rollout of smart meters and the introduction of flexible pricing. Strong consumer protections are pre-requisites to enable competition to deliver good outcomes for consumers and to enable consumers to enjoy the anticipated benefits arising from these market reforms.

#### **Commission's Constraints**

We note and acknowledge that the Commission has made two key amendments to the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11):

• Explicit Informed Consent (EIC) - The role of EIC is to ensure that consumers are actively engaging with the energy market in an informed manner. This includes understanding their

rights and obligations and the particulars of their energy contract. We are pleased the Commission has amended the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) to include the additional requirements from the definition of 'explicit informed consent' in Energy Retail Code (version 10) to reflect 'adequately disclosed in *plain English*' and 'consent given *by a person competent to do so*'.

• **Best endeavours** - We are pleased the Commission will provide a definition of 'best endeavours' in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11), thereby providing clearer direction to retailers on their obligations in this case.

However, we note that the template for the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) is the *National Energy Retail Rules* (NERR). The Commission has stated in many parts of the Draft Decision that they have adopted the wording of the NERR and have not been persuaded to deviate from the NERR drafting.

We understand the parameters in which the Commission is working in the Harmonisation Project. In particular, the Commission has stated that it is unable to reconsider matters of policy as represented by the National Energy Customer Framework and the National Energy Retail Law (Victoria) Bill 2012 (NERLVA) derogations. This has meant that the Commission has amended the Draft Energy Retail Code to retain a current consumer protection provision where there is a specific derogation or where the Commission has found a provision necessary during the transition to flexible pricing or where it is to correct a previous error. While we understand the Commission's constraints, we are concerned that with harmonisation, the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) has resulted in a reduction of key consumer protections for Victorians.

We have in the attached table shown the key differences remaining between the current Energy Retail Code (version 10) and the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) highlighting where current consumer protections are lost in the harmonisation. This submission including the attached table serves to publicly document our concerns with the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11).

# **Payment Plans**

We welcome the Commission's clarification (note at the end of clause 72) that clause 72(1) of the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) must be read in light of clause 33(4) which provides that clause 72 applies to a residential customer experiencing payment difficulties in the same way as it applies to a customer experiencing hardship. Thus, retailers are obliged to consider capacity to pay, arrears and energy consumption needs for customers in these circumstances.

We also welcome the inclusion of additional text in clause 33 of the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) to the effect that retailers are also required to offer payment plans if "the retailer otherwise believes the customer is experiencing repeated difficulties in paying the customers' bill or requires payment assistance." We understand that this means that access to payment plans is not solely dependent on customers' self-identifying that they are experiencing payment difficulties. In our experience, retailers vary in the manner in which they approach payment difficulties and financial hardship. As the Commission is aware, self-identification is an issue for some customers; this may be due to embarrassment, shame, fear, previous negative experiences with utility companies or the lack of practical or emotional skills to do so. For instance, a customer who queries a retailer about a higher than expected bill may actually be experiencing payment difficulty even though the customer does not self-identify that he/she is in payment difficulty. Late payment

-

Customers of Water and Energy Providers in Financial Hardship: A Consumer Perspective. A Report Submitted to the Essential Services Commission by Hall & Partners | Open Mind (May 2011), at 20.

of accounts may also be an indication that a customer is experiencing payment difficulty especially if the customer has in the past paid on time. Retailers' frontline staff need to be trained to recognise these nuances and offer payment assistance even if the customer does not self-identify that they are experiencing payment difficulty. The Commission needs to monitor retailers' performance to ensure that customers are given appropriate payment assistance.

We submit that similar additional text (underlined below) to clause 33 of the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) should also be inserted into clause 111(2):

"Where a customer is a hardship customer or a residential customer who has informed the retailer in writing or by telephone that the customer is experiencing payment difficulties, or the retailer otherwise believes the customer is experiencing repeated difficulties in paying the customers' bill or requires payment assistance a retailer must not arrange for de-energisation of the customer's premises under subclause (1),...."

The Commission has interpreted, "experiencing payment difficulties" broadly (page 38, Draft Decision) so that it is not limited to difficulties that have been realised but would extend to customers trying to manage their bills in anticipation of future payment difficulties. While we are pleased with the Commission's broad interpretation, we note that the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) does not require retailers to provide payment plans for customers' budgeting purposes. Therefore, we are concerned that retailers will interpret this provision narrowly to mean payment difficulties currently realised by a customer. In addition, relying on payment options to enable customers to achieve the same result as a payment plan for budgeting purposes may be inadequate because the payment options which are extended to a customer are determined by the type of contract the customer is on. Not all market contracts offer the same payment options.

The Commission has stated that clause 12.1 of the Energy Retail Code (version 10) must be read in the context of clause 11.2 which provides for the assessment and assistance of domestic customers experiencing payment difficulties. The Commission has also stated that both clauses sit under Part 3 "Credit Management" and as such payment plans are not available to domestic customers who are not experiencing payment difficulties under the current Energy Retail Code (version 10). "The Commission has determined that the access to a payment plan under the draft ERC v11 is substantially similar to the access to an instalment plan under the ERC v10. Hence requiring retailers to offer a payment plan to all Victorian customers would be beyond current consumer protections in the ERC v10 or the NECF." Our respective organisations believe that a universal right to payment plans is a fundamental consumer protection and contributes to affordability of an essential service.

### **Information Asymmetry**

As outlined above, and in the table below, we have concerns with the level of information asymmetry that is current and developing within the Victorian energy market. The changes introduced in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) mean that retailers are less inclined or obligated to be pro-active or in other words, take the initiative, in engaging with their customers. There remain a number of instances in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) where customers are left to refer to the retailers' respective websites for information.

A retailer's obligation to provide customers with information is met by providing information on its website – "a summary of the rights, entitlements and obligations of small customers" which includes their standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures and contact details of the relevant

energy ombudsman.<sup>2</sup> Customers who request such information are referred to the website, or provided with the information in hardcopy if the customer makes such a request. Directing customers to the website is inadequate as 30 per cent of consumers have no access to the internet or they may be limited in their capacity.

We have ongoing concerns with the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11), in particular:

- **Dual fuel** We are disappointed the Commission has not acted to maintain the consumer protections of the approximately 65 per cent of Victorian consumers that are dual fuel customers. This is a significant number of consumers. This is not recognised by the Commission in its approach to the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) which notes that the "new draft instrument will not maintain specific dual fuel obligations", and has also eliminated specific protections. We therefore remain concerned about the position of dual fuel customers in the new framework; in particular that consumer protections for them are eroded. For example in relation to:
  - Billing frequency;
  - Security deposits;
  - Early termination charges and agreed damages;
  - Timing of disconnection (de-energisation).
- Credit History The ability for a retailer in Victoria to charge a security deposit based upon a
  customer's entire credit history would be new and regressive for Victorian consumers.
  Historically in Victoria, security deposits were introduced to guard against potential retailer
  losses for non payment, not as an option designed to enhance supply for consumers.

We have considerable concern about the accuracy and relevance of information held by credit rating agencies. Further, the nature of utility costs and bill paying is also unique within a household budget and consumers are likely to be unfairly penalised in terms of accessing energy services, for unrelated credit issues. As such the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) should retain a requirement that only energy and water debts can be considered in looking at credit history.

• Transfer – The Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) will enable businesses to transfer customers within cooling off periods. The cooling off period is a consumer protection that ensures that where a customer has been misled or signed a contract under high pressure there is an opportunity to revise their decision - or in other instances, simply an opportunity to enact a change of mind. By transferring a customer within this period there is potential it this will limit a customer's ability to enact their cooling off rights. We see no valid reason for this amendment that is in the consumer's interest.

#### **Model Terms and Conditions**

We are pleased that the Commission has amended the following clauses in the Model Terms and Conditions following our previous joint submission:

- Clause 8.3 Variation of tariff due to change of use (pages 152-153, Draft Decision)
- Clause 9.3 Requirement that retailers obtain a customer's explicit informed consent prior to basing bill on estimation (page 155, Draft Decision)

Clause 56, ERC V11

Footnote 76 ERC V11.

- Clause 12.3 Prohibiting retailers from asking customers to pay upfront for a meter test (pages 160-161, Draft Decision)
- Clause 15.2 Including time frames for reconnection (pages 163-165, Draft Decision)

Notwithstanding the positive steps mentioned above, we are still concerned that the current drafting of the Model Terms and Conditions is inadequate. According to the Commission's Draft Decision; "[u]nder the NERR drafting, the model terms are not intended to be a comprehensive repository of all retailer obligations. Schedule 1 also uses language that is simplified so that customers understand their rights." We understand that the model terms are not intended to reflect every retailer obligation and we support the use of plain language to make the terms more understandable to consumers. However, we believe that the model terms should at least articulate key consumer rights and responsibilities since that is the document most consumers would refer to rather than the Energy Retail Code. Significant omissions to the Model Terms and Conditions include the following:

- Smart meter consumer protections
- Disconnection of supply (pages 162-163, Draft Decision) Consumers need to be aware when their retailer cannot disconnect and what the procedure leading up to disconnection is
- Prohibition of late payment fees (page 158, Draft Decision)

It is also important that retailers provide customers who are on a standard retail contract with a copy of the contract and not simply expect customers to download the contract from their website. The latter fails to acknowledge that 30 per cent of consumers have no access to the internet. As previously mentioned, we are concerned that the internet is presented as the sole avenue for consumers to obtain information (pages 166-167, Draft Decision).

The Commission has stated that; "[i]n light of the goal of harmonisation and the fact that DSDBI has decided that retailers' liability does not require Victorian specific requirements, the Commission does not find it necessary to include equivalent provisions to clauses 16 and 17 of the ERC v10 in the draft ERCv11 and model terms." We seek clarification from the Commission that the omission of those clauses in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) does not mean that retailers are able to limit their liability vis-a-vis the customer or to seek an indemnity from their customers.

We remain concerned that the government has underestimated the impact transitioning to the National Energy Customer Framework will have on key consumer protections in Victoria. We recognise, and are pleased to see that some big ticket items of consumer protection will be maintained through derogations. We believe this is crucial in ensuring these current key protections are maintained for Victorian consumers. Yet, in the harmonisation, and as demonstrated in our table below, there is been an erosion of current consumer protections for Victorians which is reflected in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11). This potentially will have significant impacts on consumers and lead to further consumer detriment.

Please direct any queries in relation to this submission in the first instance to Janine Rayner of Consumer Action Law Centre, Victoria Johnson of the Brotherhood of St Laurence or Deanna Foong of the Consumer Utilities Advocacy Centre, (details of organisations provided below).

Yours sincerely,

Alternative Technology Association – Craig Memery, ph: 03 9631 5418, email: <a href="mailto:craig@ata.org.au">craig@ata.org.au</a>

**Brotherhood of St Laurence** - Damian Sullivan, ph: 03 9483 1176, email: <a href="mailto:dsullivan@bsl.org.au">dsullivan@bsl.org.au</a>

**Community Information and Support Victoria** – Kate Wheller, ph: 03 9672 2001, email: kate@cisvic.org.au

**Consumer Action Law Centre -** Janine Rayner, ph: 03 8554 6907, email: janine@consumeraction.org.au

**Consumer Utilities Advocacy Centre** - Jo Benvenuti, ph: 03 9639 7600, email: jo.benvenuti@cuac.org.au

Kildonan UnitingCare – Joanna Leece, ph: 03 9412 5716, email: <a href="mailto:jleece@kildonan.org.au">jleece@kildonan.org.au</a>

St Vincent de Paul Society - Gavin Dufty, ph: 03 9895 5816, email: <a href="mailto:gavind@svdp-vic.org.au">gavind@svdp-vic.org.au</a>

**Victorian Council of Social Service** - Dean Lombard, ph: 03 9654 5050, email: <a href="mailto:dean.lombard@vcoss.org.au">dean.lombard@vcoss.org.au</a>

Table 1: Comparison table between Energy Retail Code Version 10 and Version 11

ERC V11 Section	Section heading	Issues identified / comments	Joint consumer response and required action by ESC	Status at DRAFT DECISION .
3	Definitions	Confusing definitions, a few which overlap. For example: "relevant customer", "domestic or small business customer" and "small customer" appear to all mean the customer category.	Action 1: Simplify the number of references to customer type for ease of use	Partial action by ESC Relevant customer acceptable.
3	Payment plan (definition)	Instalment plan is undefined. However, there is universal access – clause 12.1, ERC V10.  Definition of payment plan in ERC V11 suggests that payment plans are available only to hardship customers and non-hardship customer experiencing payment difficulties.  Significant reduction in customer protection for Victorian consumers. Payment plans must be available to all customers – customers experiencing payment difficulty or hardship, customers who need one for budgeting purposes (e.g. due to a change or anticipated change in personal circumstances). Universal access	Action 2: Provide universal access to payment plans in ERC V11.  Action 3: See our comments under clauses 33 and 72, ERC V11.	Potential issue: Significant reduction in customer protection for Victorian consumers. Payment plans must be available to all customers – customers experiencing payment difficulty or hardship, customers who need one for budgeting purposes (e.g. due to a change or anticipated change in personal circumstances). Universal access is critical particularly with the anticipated increase in the price of energy. Customers are not always in a position to self-identify as in need of a payment plan.  Significantly reduces the requirement for retailers to pro-actively identify payment difficulties, or to offer a range of assistance to consumers. Significant loss of protection for vulnerable consumers who are unaware of the need to actively request referral to hardship supports.

		is critical particularly with the anticipated increase in the price of energy.		Also removes universal access to payment plans.
3C	Explicit Informed Consent	ERC V10 reads "clearly, fully and adequately disclosed In Plain English" Plain English is missing in ERC V11	Action 4: Reflect "Plain English" & "competency" in ERC V11.	Actioned by ESC
		ERC V10 "by a person competent to do so" is missing in ERC V11		
		ERC V10 prohibits verbal EIC when a customer chooses a shorter billing cycle or to receive estimated bill – missing in ERC V11.		
		The wording of EIC in ERC V11 is an example of how the National Energy Retail Rules (NERR) weighs heavily in favour of the retailers. The exclusion of the reference to "plain English" & "competency" are a concern in light of the problems there are around mis-selling at the door, particularly where the consumer is from a non-English speaking background or has poor literacy.		
14	Terms and conditions of market retail contracts	particular clause to a standard	ERC are allowed to be varied, and which can't and thus would constitute the minimum conditions of a market retail contract.	No action by ESC Potential issue: Loss of clarity compared to current code.

Division 2A	Energy Price and Product disclosure	Appendix 1 which can be varied in the formation of a market retail contract.	addition to a clause by clause approach the Commission includes a similar schedule that identifies those provisions that constitute minimum provisions of a market retail contract.  Action 6: This division requires clear intent and authority, as previously provided Paragraph 1.2 of Guideline 19. It also needs to apply to both standing and market retail contracts.	Division 2A to apply to both standing and market contracts
15	Application of provisions of this Code to market retail contracts	See above.	See above.	
15A	Internet publication of standing offer tariffs	for small businesses (gas and	Action 7: Include the template for residential electricity standing offer in Schedule 4.	Actioned by ESC
16	Pre-contractual duty of retailers	Section 35, Electricity Industry Act 2000 requires a retailer to provide a standing offer to a domestic and small business customer.	Action 8: Re-draft clause 16(2), ERC V11 to first require a retailer to make a standing offer available to a customer. Clearly reflect that a retailer's obligation to make a standing offer is not superseded by offering a customer a market retail contract.  Action 9: Inform customers about what the key difference is between a standing offer and a market retail	Potential issue: Customers at a significant disadvantage because they are unlikely to know of the difference between retail and standing offers and without a requirement to inform them of the existence of both and the differences between them, they are not in an informed position to 'choose'.

			contract so they can make an informed decision – customers should be informed that while the market retail contract price is lower than a standing offer, the standing offer generally has stronger customer protections.	
18	Pre-contractual request to a designated retailer for sale of energy (SRC)	to offer a payment plan to the	Action 10: Provide universal access to payment plans in ERC V11.	Potential issue: If payment plans are not universally accessible, then it could mean that a customer in the sort of situation envisaged by clause 18(5), ERC V11, might not be offered a payment plan from the retailer and therefore starts a new contract with arrears carried over from another account.
19	Responsibilities of designated retailer in response to request for sale of energy (SRC)	retailer to provide a customer with their charter (which outlines a retailer's, and customer's rights and obligations). On request, a		No action by ESC  Potential issue: If the ERC is only available on the web, many consumers will be unaware of its existence and those without internet access (approximately 30% of consumers) will be unable to get this information.

		ERC V11 is drafted in a way which does not encourage retailers to be pro-active in the manner in which they engage with their customers.		
20	Basis for Bills	Clause 5.1, ERC V10, requires a customer's explicit informed consent if a bill is not to be based on an actual meter reading.  There is no similar explicit informed consent requirement in clause 20, ERC V11 - A retailer and small customer can agree to any other method for basing their bills.  This is an example of where there is a reduction in consumer protection in ERC V11.	Action 12: Re-draft ERC V11 so that explicit informed consent is required for any change in the method on which a customer's bill is based on.	Conflicting information on action by ESC  There appear to be drafting errors in this section. The discussion says "the Commission has decided to amend subclauses 20(1)(a) and 20(1)(b)(iv) of the draft ERC v11 to require that customer provide their explicit informed consent to their bill being based on anything other than a meter read.", but the DRAFT DECISION states "The Commission proposes to amend subclauses 20(1)(a) and 20(1)(b)(iv) to require the customer's explicit informed consent prior to the customer entering into a market retail contract with the retailer.  These are not the same thing.  In order to maintain adequate consumer protections, explicit informed consent is required for any change in the method on which a customer's bill is based.
21	Estimation as basis for bills (SRC and MC)	Clause 5.1, ERC V10, states that bills are to be based on an actual meter reading unless the customer gives explicit informed consent. Clause 21(1)(a), ERC V11 states that a bill can be based on an estimation where the customer "consents." There is no requirement of explicit informed consent. This is another example of where there is a reduction in consumer protection in ERC V11.	Action 13: Reflect the requirement for explicit informed consent before estimates can be used as a basis for calculating bills, in ERC V11.  There should be a positive obligation to ensure bill based on meter read regularly, and it should be easier for retailers in a smart meter environment.	Conflicting information on action by ESC  There appear to be drafting errors in this section. In the discussion it says "The Commission will amend subclause 21 (1) (a) as follows: the customer gives their explicit informed consent to the use of estimation by the retailer". BUT the DRAFT DECISION states "The Commission proposes not to amend subclause 21 (1) (a) to requires a customer's explicit informed consent prior to basing the customer's bill on estimation. These conflict.  In order to maintain adequate consumer

		the onus on the customer to request the retailer for more time to pay an adjusted bill (arising from previous undercharging). In contrast, clause 5.4(a), ERC V10, refers to the retailer adjusting the bill in accordance with clause 6 of the ERC. Clause 6.2(d) doesn't place the onus on the customer—"the retailer must offer the customer time to pay" This is another illustration of how ERC V11 does not encourage retailers to be pro-active in engaging with their customers. It is reasonable to expect a retailer to offer more time to pay an adjusted bill particularly when the undercharging did not arise from a customer's act or omission.	Action 14: Ensure that retailers are required to offer customers more time to pay an adjusted bill in ERC V11.	protections, explicit informed consent is required before estimates can be used as a basis for calculating bills.  No action by ESC Potential issue: Customers not offered more time to pay an adjusted bill may face financial hardship or fall into payment arrears.
24	Frequency of bills (SRC)	Clause 3.1(c), ERC V10, covers billing frequency for dual fuel contracts. This is not reflected in clause 24, ERC V11.  "Additional retail charge" should be referenced in the definitions	Action 15: Provide for billing frequency for dual fuel contracts in ERC V11.	No action by ESC  Potential issue: No billing frequency for dual fuel contracts provided  Additional retail charge not separately referenced
		section in ERC V11.		
25	Contents of bill (SRC and MC)		Action 16: Reflect clause 4.2(g), ERC V10 in ERC V11.	No action by ESC Not discussed in draft decision.  Potential issue: Customers may have insufficient information about total consumption, they may need to calculate this themselves from the meter data provided.
		records consumption data only on an accumulated basis, the dates		No action by ESC Not discussed in draft decision.

total amounts of the immediately previous and current meter readings or estimates." There is no equivalent provision in ERC V11. Clause 25(1)(n), ERC V11. does not reflect what is currently found in clause 4.2(q). ERC V10. Clause 25(1)(n) ERC V11 does not provide for the inclusion of the applicable tariff for each relevant period; there is no reference to kWh or MJ. It is also unclear whether the reference to "tariffs and charges" and "the basis on which tariffs and charges are calculated" - clauses 25(1)(g) & (h). ERC V11. encompasses flexible pricing.

Clause 4.2(m), ERC V10 requires a bill to have "a summary of payment methods and payment arrangement options." Clause 25(1)(4), ERC V11 refers to "payment methods."

Clause 25(1)(o), ERC V11, we suggest you amend the sentence to read "where the bill is a reminder notice and an electricity bill...."

Clause 4.2(n), ERC V10 states that a bill has to include "details of the availability of concessions." Clause 25(1)(s), ERC 11 states that a bill has to "reference the availability of government funded

arrangement options" to ensure consumers have adequate knowledge of the options available to them in relation to paying their bills. For example, payment by instalment or Centrepay.

This information is a significant resource for those consumers are attempting to manage their finances.

Action 18: Amend clause 25(1)(r), ERC V11 to include "payment methods & payment arrangement options." –as per clause 4.2(m), ERC V10.

Action 19: Amend clause 25(1)(s), ERC V11 so that the bill does not merely reference the availability of concessions, rebates etc that are available but how a customer can access them (e.g. call a telephone number).

**Action 20:** Define "index read' in ERC V11, this is important for those consumers with smart meters.

**Action 21:** Include a provision in ERC V11 that reflects ERC V10 4.2 (i) and 4.3 in relation to information regarding charges including network charges.

Action 22: Provide in ERC 11, that payments made should be first applied to the supply or sale of energy before applying it to other goods and service, unless the customer states

**Potential issue:** Customers will have insufficient information about payment arrangement options

#### No action by ESC

Not discussed in draft decision.

**Potential issue:** Customers will have insufficient information about payment arrangement options

#### No action by ESC

Not discussed in draft decision.

**Potential issue:** Customers will have insufficient information about how concessions and rebates can be accessed.

## **Actioned by ESC**

## No action by ESC

ESC believes this is adequately addressed in 25(1)(h) of the NERR

# No action by ESC

ESC believes this is adequately addressed in 25(1)(h) of the NERR

energy charge rebate, concession or relief schemes."

"Index read" in clause 25(1)(y), ERC V11, is undefined whereas it is defined in ERC V10.

Clause 4.2(i), ERC V10 states that a bill has to include "if the retailer directly passes through network charge...the separate amount of that network charge." There is no corresponding requirement in ERC V11.

Clause 4.3, ERC V10 obliges a retailer to provide a customer with reasonable information on network, retail and other charges relating to the sale and supply of energy comprised in the amount payable under the customer's bill. There is no equivalent clause in ERC V11.

Clause 25 (2), ERC V11 requires a bill to include amounts billed for goods and services (other than the sale and supply of energy) in a separate bill or as a separate item in an energy bill. This is similar to clause 4.2, ERC V10, except that clause 4.2 states that a retailer is to unless otherwise directed by a customer, "apply the payment to the charges for the supply or sale of energy before applying any part of it to the other goods and services."

otherwise.

The potential for payments to be directed to non-usage costs, have the potential to contribute to consumers entering into a debt spiral.

		Clause 4.4, ERC V10 requires certain information to be graphically presented. There is no corresponding requirement for graphs on bills, in ERC V11. However, it is possible that some of the contents for bills stipulated in clause 25, ERC V11, be presented graphically.		
25A	Greenhouse gas disclosure on electricity customer's bills	Clause 25A, ERC V11 refers to a previous version of Guideline 13: Greenhouse gas disclosure on electricity customer's bills  Clause 25A, ERC V11 should reflect the updated version of Guideline No 13 which is dated January 2013. There are incorrect references to:  • Website in clause 25A(1)(d) and the figures in Schedule 7;  • SV;  • SV and other authorities (referred to in definition of "green power").  "Commission" is also undefined in this section and in the definitions section of ERC V11.	V11 to refer to the January 2013 (i.e.	Actioned by ESC  Mostly actioned by ESC
27	Apportionment	Clauses 4.5 and 4.6(b), ERC V10 provide that any payments received from a consumer must be applied to sale or supply of energy and applied proportionately	<b>Action 25:</b> Amend the apportionment provisions in ERC V11 to ensure that they apply to both SRC and MRC.	No action by ESC  Potential issue: Consumers on MRCs lose protection and may have payments applied to other goods and services, not sale or supply of

		(before applying it to another good or service). The apportionment provision in ERC V10 applies to both standing offers and market contracts. In contrast, clause 27, ERC V11 does not apply to market retail contracts.		energy. This may lead to payment arrears and possible disconnection.
28	Historical billing information	ERC V11 significantly reduces the ability of consumers to access historical billing and metering data.  In contrast to ERC V11, clause 27.2, ERC V10 does not restrict a customer's right to obtain historical billing or metering data from his current retailer, to two years. The limitation of two years in ERC V10 operates only where the customer has transferred to another retailer and requests metering data from his/her previous retailer.	Action 26: Re-draft ERC V11 to reflect ERC V10 provisions on access to historical billing and metering information.  Unlimited customer access to historical billing & metering data from current retailer (not limited to two years)  Action 27: Amend ERC V11 to state that historical billing and metering data should be provided electronically and in an understandable and accessible format, to a customer with a smart meter.	No action by ESC Potential issue: Restricts customer's access to data  No action by ESC Potential issue: Restricts customer's access to data
		The term "historical billing information" is used in clause 28(2A), ERC V11, suggesting that the provision does not apply to metering data.  Clause 27.2(d), ERC V10, is not reflected in ERC V11. "If historical billing and metering data is required for the purpose of handling a genuine complaint made by a customer, in no	ownership and smart meters.  Action 28: Amend ERC V11 to reflect ERC V10 27.2 (d) to ensure retailers are not able to charge customers for providing data, particularly in relation	No action by ESC Potential issue: Restricts customer's access to data and ability to pursue complaints  No action by ESC

		circumstances may a retailer charge the customer for providing the data."  Clause 27.1, ERC V10, is not reflected in ERC V11. "A retailer must retain a customer's historical billing and metering data for at least two years, even though in the meantime the customer's energy contract with the retailer may have terminated."	billing data, despite a contract ending, for at least two years  This is particularly important as there may be billing disputes with	Potential issue: Restricts customer's access to data and puts them at a disadvantage if a dispute arises
29	Billing disputes	ERC V11 significantly reduces consumer protections when there is a billing dispute.  Clause 29(5)(c), ERC V11, states that if the meter test or metering data is proven faulty or incorrect, the customer is still responsible for the cost of the meter test and meter check. This is a reduction in customer protections. Under clause 6.1, ERC V10, a customer only pays for a meter test where the meter is found compliant with applicable regulatory instruments.  Clauses 29(2) and (3), ERC V11, refer to a retailer's "standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures." Clause 28.1, ERC V10, refers to "relevant Australian Standard on Complaints Handling" in the context of a retailer handling customer complaints.	29(2) and (3), ERC V11, to refer to relevant Australian Standard on Complaints Handling.	No action by ESC Potential issue: Retailer standards should be comparable to the Australian standards.
30	Undercharging	The provision under Section 30	Clause 30, ERC V11 is not aligned	No action by ESC

Potential issue: The impact on consumers of a prescribes that a consumer has a with clause 6.2. ERC V10. and retailer's error of undercharging is not adequately maximum period of 12 months to arquably reduces consumer pay an undercharged amount, in limited. Consumers are at a potential protections where such scenarios disadvantage and potentially face detriment agreed instalments, in cases arise and has the additional potential where undercharging covered a of placing the consumer at risk of because: period of more than 12 months further financial detriment. - they have a limited time to repay undercharged and resulted from the customer's amounts. - fault or omission remains undefined and may be fault, unlawful act or omission. It **Action 32:** The period for repaying appears that a retailer, under undercharged amounts must remain defined to their detriment. uncapped, in all instances, including - may be charged a higher tariff if their tariff clause 6.2(d), ERC V10, would need to provide a customer equal those resulting from the customer's changes during the period of undercharging time to pay the undercharged fault, unlawful act or omission amount (time equal to the period over which the undercharging **Action 33:** To truly limit the impact of occurred), even in such instances. undercharging on consumers the drafting needs to ensure consumers are charged only the lowest relevant We note the inclusion of undefined tariff across the entire undercharged 'customer fault'. terms 'omission', and the subjectivity of period when the fault lies with the retailer AND also be proportionate to these terms. the relevant periods between meter A further variation from ERC V10 reads. which could potentially disadvantage a consumer is the change in drafting from limiting recovery to be proportional to "relevant periods between dates on which the customer's meter has been read", to charging the customer "at the original and changed tariffs in proportion to the relevant periods during which the original and changed tariffs were in effect" (enabling the retailer to charge a higher tariff). We oppose the introduction of a 31 Overcharging Clause 31(4), ERC V11 does not (SRC and MC) appear in ERC V10. "No interest clause which prevents interest being

		is payable on amount overcharged."  Clause 31(5), ERC V11 limits repayment of overcharged amounts to 12 months where the overcharging resulted from the customer's unlawful act or omission. There is no similar provision in ERC V10.	payable by the retailer on overcharged amounts.  For many consumers, having to pay above and beyond what they actually owe can place significant strain on their finances, particularly if that charge is unexpected. In several instances, overcharging can be the fault of the meter, or billing error which can take time and effort to ascertain and rectify, and often involves the services of EWOV. In this instance, by ensuring interest is payable to the consumer, the Commission is recognising this impost and facilitates a form of compensation for the consumer.  We note that "Commission" is not a defined term in ERC V11.  Action 34: Interest needs to be payable to those overcharged customers, on the basis that the retailer has been earning interest on that overcharged amount.  Action 35: Define "Commission" in ERC V11.	No action by ESC Potential issue: For many consumers, having to pay above and beyond what they actually owe can place significant strain on their finances, particularly if that charge is unexpected. By ensuring interest is payable to the consumer, the Commission would be recognising this impost and facilitating a form of compensation for the consumer.
32	Payment methods (SRC and MC)	There are no provisions to offer another payment method if direct debit arrangements are cancelled by the customer there are in ERC V10.  Includes payment in advance ERC	We strongly support the Commission's inclusion of all payment methods listed to be available for all retail contracts.  Consumers face considerable changes in circumstances in relation	

		V10 S7.3.  Clauses 32(3) and (4), ERC V11, deal with direct debit arrangements.  "Last resort event" which is referred to in clause 32(4)(c), ERC V11 is undefined. This term is, however, defined in ERC V10.	to their financial obligations, and may need to cancel a direct debit arrangement for example. In these instances, a retailer must be obligated to offer an alternative payment option.  Action 36: The Commission include an obligation for retailers to offer all payment methods for all retail contracts, including as alternative payment options should for example, direct debit be cancelled.  We also support the inclusion of Centrepay as a payment option for these retail contracts.  Action 37: Centrepay must be available to all customers before they enter a hardship program, as a means of preventing financial difficulty or hardship.  Action 38: Define "last resort event".	No action by ESC Potential issue: People on MRCs potentially lose payment options. Additionally note "the Commissionexpects that most retailers would be willing to allow a customer to use this payment method as long as there is not a valid reason for refusing the request". This does not ensure that all retailers do allow. In particular in the absence of a definition of "valid reason for refusing".  No action by ESC See above.  Actioned by ESC
33	Payment difficulties (SRC and MRC)	ERC V11 significantly reduces the requirement for retailers to identify payment difficulties, capacity to pay or to offer a range of assistance to consumers.	Action 39: Amend ERC V11 to ensure that all customers regardless of whether they are in payment difficulty or experiencing hardship, have a right to obtain a payment plan from their retailer.	Partial action by ESC Redrafting will include "require retailer to offer a payment plan if the retailer believes the customer is experiencing repeated payment difficulties or requires payment assistance." And reinstates 72 (1) (a) and (b).
		An important feature in ERC V10 is that clause 11.2, ERC V10 ("Assessment and assistance to domestic customers"), sits under the heading "Payment Difficulties." Thus, all the obligations on retailers set out in clause 11.2 such as - assessing information	Action 40: Include similar provisions to clauses 11.2, 12.1 and 12.1, ERC V10 in ERC V11.  See comments under clauses 3 (definition of payment plan) and 72, ERC V11.	Potential issue: significantly reduces the requirement for retailers to pro-actively identify payment difficulties, or to offer a range of assistance to consumers. Significant loss of protection for vulnerable consumers who are unaware of the need to actively request referral to hardship supports. Also removes universal

provided by the customer on access to payment plans. capacity to pay; providing information on concessions, including utility relief grants scheme, telephone information about energy efficiency and advice about the availability of an independent financial counsellor apply to all customers who are experiencing payment difficulty and not merely to customers who are in hardship program. Further, many of these obligations are not reflected in ERC V11. Clause 12.1, ERC V10 obliges retailers to offer instalment (payment) plans to those who are in arrears, as well as, those who may need to budget and make payments in advance. Payment plans are not restricted to customers who are experiencing payment difficulty or who are in the hardship program. In making an instalment plan available to any customer, a retailer is also obliged under clause 12.2, ERC V10 to a. specify the period and the amount ("which must reflect the customer's consumption needs and capacity to pay"), number of instalments, how the amount is calculated. amount of instalments, estimated consumption;

b. re-calculate the amount of instalments;	
c. monitor the customer's consumption and address payment difficulties a customer may face while on the plan	
In contrast, under clause 33, ERC V11, retailers are obliged to offer a payment plan to customers who are experiencing hardship and to residential customers in payment difficulties who inform their retailer that they are experiencing payment difficulty. Matters which a retailer is to consider in establishing a payment plan such as capacity to pay, amount of arrears, estimated consumption etc only applies to a customer who is a "hardship customer" (the drafting suggests that a retailer	
need not consider these matters if the customer is merely experiencing payment difficulty but not in hardship) —see clause 72(1), ERC V11. This is another example of how ERC V11 is weighed heavily in favour of retailers.	
Clause 72(1A), ERC V11 also requires retailers to offer a payment plan to residential customers if "the retailer otherwise"	

	believes the customer is experiencing repeated difficulties in paying the customer's bill or requires payment assistance."  While we believe that there should be a universal right of access to payment plans, from a purely drafting perspective, clauses 33(1) and 72(1A), ERC V11, are not consistently drafted. While clause 33(4), ERC V11 refers to Clause 72 (i.e. "Clause 72 applies to a residential customer referred to in subclause (1)(b)."), it is confusing to read.		
33 (2)(a)	Clause 33(2)(a), ERC V11 states that a retailer does not need to offer a payment plan to a customer if the customer has had two payment plans cancelled in the previous 12 months due to non-payment. Clause 11.2(3), ERC V10, however, states "unless the customer has in the previous 12 months failed to comply with two instalment plans and does not provide a reasonable assurance to the retailer that the customer is willing to meet payment obligations under a further instalment plan, offer the customer an instalment plan."	Action 41: Amend ERC V11 to ensure that a customer's capacity to pay is considered when a retailer makes an offer of (or a customer requests) a payment plan.	Actioned by ESC
33(3)	References to energy efficiency advice and the availability of an independent financial counsellor in	Action 42: Refer to comments under clause 33, ERC V11, above.	No action by ESC Potential issue: because there is no requirement for retailers to advise regarding energy efficiency

		clause 11.2(4) ERC V10 are not reflected in clause 33(3), ERC V11.		advice and the availability of an independent financial counsellor, customers are potentially denied information on important supports that will assist them to better manage their electricity consumption and ability to pay their bills.
34	Shortened collection cycles (SRC and MRC)	'Agreement' of the customer implies it is negotiable.  Reminder notice for two consecutive bills rather than three, and disconnection warnings for two consecutive bills omitted.  Improved provisions in ERC V11 for a customer to remove themselves from a shortened collection cycle.  There is no clause related to ERC V10 10.1 Shorter billing cycle in relation to the EIC provided by customers in the negotiation of moving to a shorter (eg monthly) billing cycle.  Clause 9.1(a), ERC V10, allows a retailer to place a domestic customer on a shortened collection cycle (SCC) only after the retailer "has complied with clause 11.2 and" Clause 11.2 ERC V10, is the section on "assessment and assistance to domestic customers" under the clause 11 big heading "Payment Difficulties."	We have concerns about the inclusion of 34 (1) which suggests that the placement of a customer on a shortened collection cycle would be with the agreement of the customer. It must firstly be with the explicit informed consent of a customer to ensure they are fully aware of their revised obligations. It is unlikely a customer would agree to a shortened collection cycle if they felt it would not assist them to meet their payment obligations.  Where ERC V11 restricts the use of a shortened collection cycle if the customer is experiencing payment difficulties, ERC V11 must define this further, for example, the retailer must be first required to identify, whether a customer may be experiencing payment difficulties and then work with the customer to determine the most appropriate payment arrangements such as a payment plan, taking into consideration capacity to. A shortened collection cycle will not necessarily circumvent payment difficulty.  Further, we note the reduction in the number of reminder notices that a	No action by ESC Potential issue: without a requirement for explicit informed consent, a customer may not be aware of their placement on a shorter collection cycle and therefore of their revised obligation, furthermore the reduction in the number of reminder notices coupled with the shorter cycle leads to a significantly higher risk of disconnection.

In contrast, clause 34(2)(a), ERC V11. provides that a retailer may experiencing payment difficulties...". There is no further clarification here, or reference to a defined section on payment difficulties or capacity to pay.

Another pre-requisite before a retailer can place a customer on a SCC, is the number of reminder and disconnection notices that first has to be issued to the customer. 9.1(b), ERC Clause V10, stipulates "reminder notices for consecutive bills three or disconnection warnings for two consecutive bills." In contrast. clause 34(2)(b), ERC V11, stipulates "reminder or warning notice for 2 consecutive bills." Thus, clauses 34(2)(b) & (c), ERC V11, offers a lower standard of protection than clause 9.1(b), ERC V10. The former should be amended to reflect the need to have "reminder or warning notice for 3 consecutive bills." This is fair proposition given that a customer who is on a SCC has to pay "3" consecutive bills in the customer's billing cycle by the pay-by-date" before being removed from the SCC.

customer must receive before a retailer can place a customer on a place a residential customer on a shortened collection cycle. We urge SCC only if the customer "is not the Commission to amend this to retain the protections available to consumers under ERC 10 and to reflect the need to have "reminder or warning notice for 3 consecutive bills" given that a customer who is on a shortened collection cycle has to pay "3 consecutive bills in the customer's billing cycle by the pay-by-date" before being removed from the shortened collection cycle. . if the requirements for being placed on a shortened collection cycle have been reduced, the requirements for being removed from a shortened collection cycle should be reduced accordingly.

> Action 43: Define payment difficulties, and ensure the inclusion of a reference to payment plans and capacity to pay.

> Action 44: Replace with the "agreement of the customer" with the "explicit informed consent of the customer."

> Action 45: Retain the need to have "reminder or warning notice for 3 consecutive bills" or reduce the requirements for being removed from the shortened collection cycle to 2 consecutive bills.

> Action 46: Include a provision which **Explicit** requires a customer's

25	Downest for	No control of the con	informed consent in the negotiation of moving to a shorter (eg monthly) billing cycle.	Actioned by ECC
35	Request for final bill (SRC)	No equivalent clause in ERC V10.	We believe it is useful and valuable to define 'best endeavours', as a common definition, due to the importance of a final meter read for consumers exiting properties. Currently it is unclear as to what 'best endeavours' may entail, and making a payment for a final bill against an estimate is potentially unfair for consumers who may pay above what they owe, with little recourse for reimbursement.	Actioned by ESC
35A	Additional retail charges (SRC and MRC)		Action 47: Define "best endeavours".  We are concerned with the drafting of Clause 35 A. For e.g:  • "market contract" is referred to rather than "market retail contract";  • The reference to "clause 30 of this Code" is inappropriate given that clause 30 is the provision on undercharging;  • The reference to "In this clause additional retail charge means" does not consider that the term "additional retail charge" also appears in other parts of ERC V11 (such as clause 24(2), ERC V11).  Further, Clause 35A(4), ERC V11, which is a reflection of clause 7.5, ERC V10 is somewhat misplaced. An	

		of ERC V11 (such as clause 24(2), ERC V11).  Clause 35A(4), ERC V11, which is a reflection of clause 7.5, ERC V10 is somewhat misplaced. An "Additional retail charge" is a charge which a retailer imposes because it is "related to the sale of energy"- clause 35A(3). Dishonoured payment and merchant service fees (which is the heading for clause 7.5, ERC V10) are different; they are not fees additionally imposed by a retailer but arise because the initial payment made by the customer has been dishonoured.	different; they are not fees additionally imposed by a retailer but arise because the initial payment made by the customer has been dishonoured.  Action 48: Redraft Clause 35 A taking into consideration the errors we have identified, and ensuring policy	Actioned by ESC
35B	Merchant Service Fee (SRC and MRC)	The end words of clause 7.5(b), ERC V10 are missing in clause 35B of ERC V11 – "if their energy contract is a market contract." Clause 7.5(b), ERC V10 allows the retailer to recover merchant fees from a domestic customer if their energy contract is a market contract.  In contrast, clause 35(b), ERC V11 allows a retailer to recover merchant fees from residential customers on standard retail contracts and market retail contracts. This is a reduction in protections for Victorian consumers.	appears reasonable, the amount of the merchant fee must be fair. Retailers can impose surcharges for credit cards—but generally this hasn't related to cost of payment service (though the Reserve Bank of Australia	35B amended to not apply to standard retail contracts Victorian specific derogation will continue.  No action by ESC Potential issue: customers on MRCs may be exposed to fees beyond those taken into consideration in the reserve bank of Australia definition of a 'merchant service fee'.

			purpose credit card and charge card	
			transactions that are acquired by the	
36	Obligations on		reporting organisation.  Due to the unfairness associated with	
30	retailers (SRC)		unilateral tariff reassignment clauses	
	Totaliers (Grie)		in contracts, it is important that	
			retailers are prohibited from	
			unilaterally varying the price. If the	
			retailer feels they can't offer that price	
			any more, they should allow the	
			consumer to exit the contract without	
			penalty (and then they can find a new	
			contract). This would be pro-	
			competitive.	
			Action 50: Apply clause 36 to Market	No action by ESC
			Retail Contracts where tariff changes	Potential issue: unilateral variation of price by a
			may occur in relation to fixed term contracts.	retailer in a fixed term contract without explicit
			Contracts.	informed consent of the customer and without
			Further, amend drafting to reflect the	allowing the customer to exit the contract without penalty is unfair.
			need for a retailer to obtain the explicit	penalty is unian.
			informed consent of a customer prior to any tariff change on a fixed term	
			contract.	
38	Change in use	There appears to be no equivalent	It is unclear from the drafting in	
	(SRC)	clause in ERC V10.	Clause 38 what may constitute a	
			change in use. Nor is 'reclassification'	
			defined.	
			As a result, it is unclear what a	
			consumer's rights and obligations are	
			in relation to this clause. For example,	
			is the installation of an air conditioning unit change in use, or is it for the	
			entire premises, perhaps being used	
			as a retail premises rather than a	

			residential premises.	No action by ESC
			<b>Action 51:</b> To ensure consumer rights and obligations are clear in relation to 'Change in use', Include a definition of 'change in use' and 'reclassification'.	No action by ESC Commission deleting this clause because it is dealt with in Orders in Council.
39	Consideration of credit history	Clauses 39 & 40(2)(d), ERC V11 allow a retailer to take into account any "credit history" in deciding whether to require a small customer to provide a security deposit. In contrast, clause 8.1A, ERC V10 allows a retailer to have "regard only to any relevant default" by a domestic customer, in deciding whether a customer has an unsatisfactory credit rating. "Relevant default" is specifically defined in clause 34, ERC V10; it has a narrower scope than a customer's entire credit history.	Victoria to charge a security deposit based upon entire credit history would be new and regressive for Victorian consumers.  Historically in Victoria, security deposits were introduced to guard against potential retailer losses for non payment, not as an option designed to enhance supply for	No action by ESC Potential issue: The ability for a retailer in Victoria to charge a security deposit based upon

		Action 53: Ensure scope is limited to 'relevant defaults' (as defined in ERC V10).  Action 54: Define relevant defaults (as defined in ERC V10).	entire credit history would be new and regressive for Victorian consumers. Consumers prioritise the payment of utility bills above other expenses and therefore reference to other debt does not reflect the context of electricity bills. Additionally we have considerable concern about the accuracy and relevance of information held by credit rating agencies. To ensure consumers do not experience further detriment any debts also need only to be limited to 'relevant defaults' and debts of \$120.
40	Requirement for security deposit (SRC and MRC)	<ul> <li>of the outcomes. For example:</li> <li>It is unclear why clause 40(3)(c), ERC V11 has been included in light of clause 40(4).</li> <li>Clauses 70(7) and 40(8) appear to be contradictory; and</li> </ul>	Partial action by ESC

(added by the ESC), a retailer cannot require a security deposit without having complied with clause 33 - Clause 33 requires retailers to offer a payment plan to customers who are experiencing hardship and to residential customers in payment difficulty who inform their retailer that they experiencing payment difficulty. However, clause 40(4), ERC V11 also requires a retailer to offer a payment plan before a security deposit can be obtained. Clause 40(4), ERC V11 appears to be similar to clause 8.1(b), ERC V10 which refers to the need for a retailer to offer a payment plan before requiring a refundable advance. It is unclear why clause 40(3)(c), ERC V11 has been included in light of clause 40(4). Clause 40(7), ERC V11 states that "payment or partial payment of a security deposit is not a pre condition to the formation of a standard retail contract." Clause 40(8), ERC V11, states that "[clause 40] applies in relation to standard retail contracts". The two clauses appear to contradict. If the intent is for a retailer to comply with the assessment and assistance obligations (NB: clause 8.1(b), ERC V10, 1<sup>st</sup> dot point refers to clause 11.2 which is the

section on

"assessment

		assistance to domestic customers) before obtaining a security deposit from the customer, clause 33, ERC V11 is not equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC V10.		
41	Payment of security deposit (SRC)		It is essential that the retailer provides ample opportunity and time for a customer to pay the security deposit. This should be no less than the payby-date of a normal billing cycle, in order to ensure that the consumer has two fortnightly payment periods in which to obtain money for the security deposit or, enable the customer to pay it as part of a payment plan over an extended period of time (the supply of energy is not withheld at this time).  Action 56: Ensure the retailer provides a fair and reasonable period of time to pay the security deposit.	No action by ESC Potential issue: The consumer is still not necessarily provided with ample opportunity to pay the deposit or to enable payment as part of a payment plan.
42	Amount of security deposit (SRC)		This rule must also apply to market retail contracts. Security deposits are required to guard against potential retailer losses for non payment and the calculation methods used in this rule provides a fair and reasonable means to calculate this. Not providing coverage to market contracts allows retailers to charge above fair and reasonable costs for some customers.  Action 57: Apply the obligation on	No action by ESC Potential issue: Without applying clause 42,

		advance because the retailer has decided the domestic customer has an unsatisfactory credit rating,	amount of security deposit to market retail contracts.	there is no fair and reasonable way to calculate the amount of a security deposit for MRCs.
		25%" There is no equivalent provision in ERC V11.		
44	Use of security deposit (SRC)	This clause must apply to market contracts. Not providing coverage to market contracts allows retailers to apportion the security deposit to amounts owing for services or goods other than the sale of energy first.	Action 58: Apply this clause to market retail contracts.  Action 59: Include a reference, i.e. to clause 121(1), ERC V11 within clause 44 1 (a).	No action by ESC Potential issue: Not providing coverage to market contracts allows retailers to apportion the security deposit to amounts owing for services or goods other than the sale of energy first.
		Clause 44(1), ERC V11 is similar to clause 8.3, ERC V10, except that there is a reference to a clause on the "customer's right of reconnection" (clause 15.1, ERC V10). It would be appropriate for clause 44(1)(a), ERC V11, to include a similar reference, i.e. to clause 121(1), ERC V11.		
45	Obligation to return security deposit (SRC)	This clause must apply to market contracts. Not providing coverage to market contracts allows retailers to determine unreasonable repayment arrangements for the security deposit, potentially withholding the security deposit unfairly.	Action 60: Apply this clause to market retail contracts.	No action by ESC Potential issue: Not providing coverage to market contracts allows retailers to determine unreasonable repayment arrangements for the security deposit, potentially withholding the security deposit unfairly.
46	Tariffs and charges	Retailers do not need to obtain the customers consent prior to varying terms and conditions (or tariffs), as ERC V11 requires the retailer to give notice "as soon as practicable, and in any event, in	Consumers must be provided with assurance that the terms and conditions that they sign up to do not vary within the term of their fixed term contract.	

the case of customers with smart meters, 20 business days prior to the variation, and otherwise no later than the customer's next bill."

We are particularly concerned about fixed-term contracts and unilateral variation clauses, in relation to price — the ability of retailers to unilaterally amend prices is not only at odds with standards of fairness in consumer laws, but impedes consumers' ability to drive competition by making informed choices.

It is a reasonable assumption by the customer that the terms they have agreed to in a fixed-term contract remain fixed or that any variation in terms ensures that a consumer is given ample notice to consider the change and, if they reject it, to exit the contract without penalty.

The Australian Consumer Law provides, as one of its examples of unfair contract terms, 'a term that permits, or has the effect of permitting, one party (but not another party) to vary the terms of the contract'.

Under the Australian Consumer Law, a term of a consumer contract that is found to be unfair is void.

**Action 61:** Ensure the terms of a contract are fixed in a fixed term contract.

**Action 62:** Where the contract is not a fixed term contract, require retailers to gain the explicit informed consent of a consumer prior to varying terms

## Partial action by ESC

**Potential issue:** It is a reasonable assumption by the customer that the terms they have agreed to in a fixed-term contract remain fixed. Reinstating the requirement for explicit informed consent to changes to structure and nature of tariff is appropriate, however, consumers must then be given the right, without penalty, to exit the contract should they reject the variation.

			and conditions. This needs to be done at the time of the proposed variation.  Consumers must then be given the right, without penalty, to exit the contract should they reject the variation.	
48	Retailer notice of end of fixed term retail contract	retailer must give notice to a	Action 63: clause 46(3), ERC V11 be amended to include a reference to; "variation to the amount and/or structure of the tariffs, and charges that affects the customer."	No action by ESC Potential issue: It is a reasonable assumption by the customer that the terms they have agreed to in a fixed-term contract remain fixed and consumers must then be given the right, without penalty, to exit the contract should they reject the variation.
49	Termination of market retail contract	There is no equivalent provision in	To ensure there is clear guidance to customers and retailers in relation to rights and obligations around termination of a contract, under ERC V11, it is important to retain the guidance provided in ERC V10.  Action 64: Retain the provisions under clause 24.5 of ERC V10.	No action by ESC Potential issue: there is no clear guidance to customers and retailers in relation to rights and obligations around termination of a contract.
49A	Early termination charges and	Clause 24.6 Termination in the event of a last resort event has not been included in ERC V11, which		

	agreed damages terms	prevented the charging of early termination fees under a RoLR. Nor has any provision been made for RoLR of dual fuel contracts.	practices of energy retailers should a last resort event occur. Much of the protection developed and included in ERC V10 ensures that the customers in last resort events would be provided additional, necessary protection.  Action 65: Retain consumer protections in ERC V10 that relate to Retailer of Last Resort events.	Actioned by ESC
50	Small customer complaints and dispute resolution information	l	Often customers need to be informed or reminded about what their options are in the midst of a complaint, particularly as this can be a time of high stress. Requiring retailers to simply publish details of complaints processes or energy ombudsman schemes in the original contract's terms and conditions or even on their websites (which is stipulated in clause 56(1)(b), ERC V11) is therefore insufficient advice to consumers, and a reduction in protections available from ERC V10.  Action 66: Redraft ERC V11 to clearly articulate that customers on both standard retail contracts and market retail contracts have access to the energy ombudsman for all disputes which are within the jurisdiction of the energy ombudsman.  Action 67: Reflect the reference to Australian Standard on Complaints Handling in ERC V11.	Partial action by ESC Provision in model terms and conditions, but this is another example where access to information for consumers is limited, as information is only provided on the internet.  Partial action by ESC Now refers to 'standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures'.

		Complaints Handling", while clause 50(1)(b), ERC V11 refers to "retailer's standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures."  The wording in clause 28.2, ERC V10, suggests that a retailer has to advise a customer about his/her right to raise the complaint to a higher level and thereafter to EWOV if he/she is not satisfied with the outcome, in the course of addressing a customer complaint. This is different from clause 50((1), ERC V11, which requires a retailer to include provisions in the market retail contract informing customers about their complaint process and right to access the services of the energy ombudsman.		
51	Liabilities and immunities	A substantive omission in ERC V11 is not extending clauses 51 (Liabilities and immunities) and 52 (Indemnities) of ERC V11 to standard retail contracts. Both these clauses sit in Part 2 Division 7 which is entitled – "Market retail contracts – particular requirements." Thus, standard retail contracts are not covered. In contrast, clauses 16 (No limitation of liability) and 17 (Indemnity) of ERC V10 cannot be varied in the formation of a market contract – thus, these clauses apply to standing offers and market	Action 68: Ensure the Voltage Variation Guideline is appropriately reference in ERC V11.  Action 69: Apply this clause to Standard Retail Contracts as well as Market Retail Contracts.	No action by ESC Potential issue: The guideline is still currently in force in Victoria however better and more durable protection would be provided by referencing the Voltage Variation Guideline in ERC V11.  Partial action by ESC Clarification of the relationship of model terms and conditions to standard retail contracts.

contracts under ERC V10.

Clause 16. ERC V10 includes clauses which are relevant to the Voltage Variations Guideline - a retailer must not include a term "in the case of a domestic customer. requiring the customer to take precautions reasonable minimise the risk of loss or damage to any equipment, premises, or business if the customer which may result from poor quality or reliability of energy supply". However, a retailer can include such a term if the customer is a business customer. Currently, the Voltage Variation Guidelines still apply in Victoria. Therefore this needs to be appropriately referenced in ERC V11. Further, we have in previous submissions strongly argued for the inclusion of the Voltage Variation Guidelines as we are of the view that it offers a higher standard of consumer protection that Part 7 (Small Compensation Scheme) of the National Energy Retail Law. Refer to joint consumer submission dated 11 May 2012, pages 4-5, 8-11<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>4</sup> http://www.cuac.org.au/index.php?option=com\_docman&task=doc\_download&gid=251&Itemid=26

55	Referral interpreter services	to	Inclusion of interpreter services to all residential customers is a positive inclusion. Need definition of 'reasonable needs' otherwise this is at the discretion of the retailer.	Action 70: Include definition of "reasonable needs".	No action by ESC Potential issue: definition of 'reasonable needs' being left to the discretion of the retailer puts consumers at significant disadvantage as the onus of demonstrating 'reasonable need' thereby shifts to the consumer. This is particularly problematic in the case of referral to interpreter services as those consumers who may be in need of interpreter services are potentially least likely to be in a position to advocate that need for themselves.
56	Provision information customers	of to	56(1), ERC V11, requires a retailer to "publish on its website a summary of the rights, entitlements and obligations of small customers, including the retailer's standard complaints and dispute resolution procedure; and the contact details for the relevant energy ombudsman", Clause 56(2) ERC V11, limits the information which a customer requests to "the kind referred to in subclause (1)"; clause 56(3), ERC V11 also refers to a retailer providing "a copy of any information of that kind" at the customer's request. This suggests that retailers need only provide customer with (a) a summary of the rights, entitlements and obligations; (b) complaints and dispute resolution procedures and (c) energy ombudsman contact details.	information that is contained on retailer's websites and whether customers are able to navigate to the relevant webpage to access information easily.  We note that there is no requirement in ERC V11 for retailers to provide their customers with a Customer Charter, and instead to rely on the website for a summary of the customer's rights, entitlements and obligations Not all customers have internet access; also, some customers may be unable to find the information they are looking for, on the website easily.  The model terms and conditions for a standard retail contract include references to the ERC. Thus, as a minimum, retailers should provide customers with a copy of the ERC, if	

	are worded very differently and we think would result in customers being more informed about what their rights, obligations and entitlements are as retailers are required to bring this information to the customer's attention. Clause 26(2), ERC V10 deals with a retailer's obligation to provide a copy of their charter to their customers. Clause 26(3), ERC V10 further requires a retailer to provide, on request, to a customer, a copy of the ERC. This clause also requires a retailer "to inform a customer of any amendment to this Code that materially affects the customer's rights, entitlements and obligations as soon as reasonably practicable after this Code is amended."	Action 71: Retailers must be obligated to actively advise a customer of their rights to escalating complaints, including to EWOV, at the time of a complaint.  Action 72: Retailers must be obligated to actively inform customers of their rights and obligations. This includes, reflecting clauses 26(2) and (3), ERC V10 in ERC V11.	No action by ESC Potential issue: the lack of obligation for retailers to actively inform consumers of their rights and obligations nor to provide copies of the ERC and charter puts consumers at significant disadvantage, in particular those consumers without internet access.
57	 n for a transfer, as well as requires a customer retail contract to be in place to confirm the sale.  A transfer can proceed however, prior to the completion of the cooling off period (provided the transfer can be reversed if the customer elects to withdraw from the contract).  Clause 57 allows a customer to be transferred during the cooling off period, provided that the transfer can be reversed if the customer elects to withdraw. There is no similar provision in the Electricity	Transfers must not proceed prior to the cooling off period being completed. Should a consumer evoke their cooling off rights but a transfer has already commenced, there is a potential to cause unnecessary issues for the consumer reducing the ability to exercise cooling off rights, as consumers would be told 'we've already transferred'.  Action 73: Transfers must not be permitted to proceed until the cooling off period has been completed.	No action by ESC Potential issue: This is particularly problematic as it may reduce the ability for consumers to exercise their cooling off rights, who once, transferred may find it difficult to be transferred back. We see no valid reason for this

		Customer Transfer Code (ECTC). Transfers can only occur after the cooling off period currently.		amendment.
59	Notice to small customers where transfer delayed	timeframes for transfers as there	relation to transfer for smart meters in	•

Division 10	Energy Marketing			
61	Overview of this Subdivision	This is a significant reduction in energy marketing protections for consumers.  ERC V11 suggests that other Acts (eg Australian Consumer Law), 'may also apply to retail marketers carrying out energy marketing activities'.  There is no mention of minors or authorised customers as there is in ERC V10 4.3, We note these provisions were included in the Code of Conduct for Marketing, and in fact have been relied upon over recent years, as minors and unauthorised consumers were	the Code of Conduct for Marketing that fully considers the implication of not including this Code in ERC V11 taking into account the applicable provisions in the ACL.  Action 76: ERC V11 must recognise and emphasise that other Acts, eg the Australian Consumer Law DO apply to retail marketers undertaking energy marketing activities.  Action 77: This clause must also	

		continually being marketed to.		
62	Requirement for and timing of disclosure to small customers	Applies only to market retail contracts.	Action 78: This clause must also apply to standard retail contracts.	No action by the ESC  (Commission acknowledges difference but defers to NERR drafting).  Potential issue:  Retailers do not have to provide consumers with information about standard retail offers before entering contracts, which means that consumers have no understanding of what protections they may forego by taking on a market retail offer.
63	Form of disclosure to small customers	Applies only to market retail contracts  Allows required information to be provided verbally.  This is not consistent with ERC V10 where a "retailer must provide the consumer with a reasonable opportunity to consider this information before entering into the contract."  Required information including price must be provided in writing (not just verbally) prior to a customer consenting to a contract. This does not otherwise comprise Explicit Informed Consent as it is open to error and misinterpretation.	Action 79: Retailers must be obligated to provide required information in a written format and for this to form part of explicit informed consent.  Action 80: Provision must be made for consumers to have a reasonable opportunity to consider the information before entering into the contract.  Action 81: This clause must also apply to standard retail contracts.	No action by the ESC  Potential issue:  Standing offers have to be requested by the customer and that they will have to source it themselves (via the internet).  Issue as above.

64	Required information	There is no time frame for provision of written information ie "On or before the second <i>business day</i> after the <i>relevant date</i> "  Information in 64 *1) (a) – (e) including specifically that under (1) (a) regarding prices, charges, concessions etc are NOT mandated to be provided to customers on standing offers.  No commitment to ensuring price is inclusive of all costs including GST.	Action 82: We strongly urge the Commission to conduct a review of the Code of Conduct for Marketing that fully considers the implication of not including significant provisions in ERC V11.	No action by the ESC  Potential issue:  Information not readily available (or mandatory) on standing offers, representing a diminution of consumer protections in relation to standing offers, based on information provision.
		No information regarding being available for an audit regarding consent.  This clause is not consistent with ERC V10 which provides consumers with "a reasonable opportunity to consider this information before entering into the <i>contract</i> ."		
		While the ERC V11 does require energy retailer to notify customers of the right to complain to the retailer and the ombudsman, it does not provide any information on how to do this.		
65	No contact lists	The new clause does not require retailers to confirm in writing that they are on the no contact list.  It imposes a limitation of a period of 2 years, at which time the customer	Action 83: To remain consistent with ERC V10, and to ensure consumers are informed of their status on contact lists, retailers must be obliged to confirm, in writing, that	No action by the ESC  Potential issue: Does not align with MCC, does not include telephone or email marketing. The renewal obligation again places the onus on the consumer. If the consumer wanted to be marketed

to, they could contact the retailer. must reapply to be on the list customers are on the no contact list. (currently ongoing). Action 84: Remove the 2 year renewal requirement. Consumers can contact the retailers if they For discussion: Consumer Action through its Do Not Knock website, introduced a function to enable WANT to be marketed to. consumers to directly contact their retailer to Action 85: Retailers must advise request being placed on a retailer's 'no contact consumers, at the time of marketing. of the no contact list, and the Following a recommendation of the CUAC report procedures for being placed on the Minimising Consumer Detriment from Energy list. Door-to-Door Sales, Consumer Action developed a 'No Contact' form which delivers consumer requests not to be door knocked directly to all energy retailers in their state. Since launching in May, almost 600 consumers have used the service. It became clear quite soon after the launch of the service that staff at a number of retailers didn't know how to fulfil their "No Contact" obligations. Responses included: Our findings included: • Failure of retailers to have systems in place to manage requests for no contact Repeated marketing by those companies where consumers had requested to be on no contact list Claiming to need information such as the full name, full address and date of birth of a consumer in order to fulfil the request; • Stating they couldn't opt out unless they were already a customer with the retailer; Not responding directly to the request to be on a no contact list, and instead

				referring consumers to register on the Do Not Call Register and get a 'Do Not Knock sticker'; and  • Stating the retailer had no "No Contact" list  We do note that some retailers however went beyond their obligations and provided consumers with written confirmation that their request had been actioned, even providing a screen shot as evidence for the customer.
68	Record keeping	In ERC V11 It is not explicit as to what details must be kept, such as the premises visited, the dates and times (including the time at which the visit concluded); and the names of marketing representatives. This is a further challenge for as consumers won't know the identification of marketers due to the removal of the requirements to wear identification. Relies on records of retailers only.	Action 86: Refer to our comments in response to Clause 60 of ERC V11.	No action by the ESC  Potential issue: The amount of information retailers must keep is reduced. EWOV are concerned with impact this will have on ability to conduct investigations into customer complaints.  This is of particular significance given the number of complaints EWOV currently receives and complexity of cases we see around solar, high bills etc.
Division 11	Miscellaneou s			
71	Obligation of retailer to communicate customer hardship policy	Clause 71(1), ERC V11, obliges a retailer to inform a "hardship customer of the existence of thehardship policy as soon as practicable after the customer is identified as a hardship customer." Clause 71(2) requires a retailer to provide "a customer or financial counsellor with a copy of the	Action 87: Amend clause 71, ERC V11 – To ensure that retailers make details about their hardship policies accessible to customers and third parties (such as financial counsellors, social workers, those acting on the customer's behalf etc), and to proactively communicate their hardship policies across to customers and	No action by the ESC  No extra obligation to make retailers pro-active in communicating hardship policies, beyond the website (or upon request).  As below, however the ESC is replacing 71A with Clause 2.2 of Guideline 21. So, will include the

hardship policy on request and at third parties. obligation for a retailer's financial hardship policy, Clause 71(3), no expense." to, among other things, "be transparent, accessible requires a retailer to publish details and communicated to domestic customers. financial counsellors and community assistance of its hardship policy on its website. agencies." These provisions present another example on how ERC 11 does not encourage retailers to be pro-active in the way in which they engage with their customers. Publication of their hardship policies is a positive step but it is insufficient by itself. to draw customers' attention to them. Retailers should proactively communicate their hardship policies and how to access this, to their customers before they are in payment difficulty or in hardship. Once a customer is in the hardship program, a retailer should also provide that customer with a copy of the policy. Clause 2.3, Guideline No. 21 is reflected in clause2 71(2) and (3), ERC V11. However, we also note that clause 2.2, Guideline No 21, the ESC has the expectation that a retailer's financial hardship policy, "be transparent, accessible and communicated domestic customers. financial counsellors

community

and

assistance

		agencies." This suggests pro- active communication by retailers.		
71 A	Minimum requirements for customer hardship policy	We note that ERC V11 incorporates the minimum requirements for customer hardship policies articulated in section 44, National Energy Retail Law (NERL)., with additional clauses drawn from Guideline No 21 (i.e. energy audits and appliance replacements)  The following clauses from clause 2.2(b) Guideline No 21 have been omitted or not fully captured in ERC V11. That the ESC expects a hardship policy to:  "iii. provide details of the processes and criteria the retailer will use to identify domestic customers in financial hardship" — clause 71A(1)(a) refers to "process to identify". Retailers should also document the criteria for identifying customers experiencing hardship in its hardship policy  "iv provide details of the options that will be provided to domestic customers in financial hardship and how domestic customers will be assisted to maintain their participation in instalment plans or any other options offered to them."  — Clause 71A(1) omits the second half of iv a hardship policy should also include information on how a	information on how domestic customers will be assisted to maintain their participation in instalment plans or any other options offered to them.  Action 90: Include in clause 71A(1), ERC V11 a similar requirement to clause 2.2(b)(v), Guideline No. 21.  Action 91: Amend clause 71A(1), ERC V11, to ensure that retailers notify and refer customers to concession programs, financial counselling services and other support agencies.  Action 92: Include clauses 2.2(b)(x), (xi) and (xii), Guideline 21, in clause 71A(1), ERC V11.  Action 93: Include clauses 2.2(b)(xiii), Guideline 21, in clause 71A(1), ERC V11.  Action 94: Amend clause 71A(1)(f), ERC V11 to include clause 2.2(b)(xiv), Guideline	Action by the ESC  The ESC have drafted a new clause 71A to incorporate clause 2.1 of Guideline 21.

retailer will assist customers maintain participation in payment plans or other options.

"v. Provide details of the processes the retailer will use to work with the Action 96: Address any drafting and domestic customer and where appropriate a financial counsellor to assess the appropriate options to be provided by the retailer." -Clause 71A, ERC V11 omits this provision. Clauses 71A(1)(a) and (1)(b), ERC V11 respectively refer to process to identify customers experiencing hardship processes for early response. However, those provisions do not cover the entire process involved with working with the customer and financial counsellor.

"vi. Offer fair and reasonable payment options with fair and reasonable instalment intervals that accommodate the particular domestic circumstances of customers in financial hardship and to monitor the domestic customer's including payments. accumulation of debt" -There is no similar provision in clause 71A(1); it should be stipulated as a minimum requirement for a customer hardship policy, this should be part of what the retailer communicates across to customers.

"ix. Provide for the referral of domestic customers in financial

**Action 95:** Amend clause 71A(1)(f), ERC V11 to include clause 2.2(b)(xx), Guideline 21.

editing issues which need correction.

hardship to other support agencies and scheme where appropriate" -Clause 71A(1)(d), ERC V11 refers "processes identify...concession programs and appropriate financial counselling services and to notify hardship customers of those programs and services." Retailers should not merely notify customers about concession programs and financial counselling services but refer customers to them as well as to other support agencies. "x. Set out the process retailers will follow to advise domestic customers of their rights and obligations in respect of their agreement under the retailer's hardship program" "xi. Set out the circumstances in which a hardship arrangement between a domestic customer and the retailer will cease." "xii. Require the retailer's staff to be made aware of the policy and require all staff involved in the administration of the financial hardship program to have the necessary skills to sensitively engage with domestic customers about their payment difficulties and in offering assistance,... - Clause 71A(1) omits x, xi and xii. "xiii. Be transparent, accessible and communicated domestic customers, financial counsellors and community assistance

agencies" – this is not captured in clause 71A(1) as a minimum requirement of a hardship policy – This should be stipulated as a minimum requirement for a customer hardship policy, this should be part of what the retailer communicates across to customers.

"xiv. Recommend the most appropriate tariff at the time of entry to the financial hardship program, bearing in mind: (A) cost effectiveness: and (B) whether the customer has dedicated off-peak appliances: and (C) the customer's previous tariff (including network charge); and (D) the customer's overall power usage; and (E) the customer's previous bills, if available; and (F) any other relevant information provided by the customer." - xiv does not appear to be reflected in clause 71A(1), ERC V11. Clause 71A (1)(f), ERC V11 refers to "processes to review the appropriateness of a hardship customer's market retail contract in accordance with the purpose of the customer hardship policy" Clause 71A(1)(f) does not mention the "most appropriate tariff"; it is also unclear whether it covers all the factors which need to considered in xiv (A) to (F). Clause 71A(1)(f), refers to the "purpose of the customer hardship policy" but this is undefined in ERC V11.

		"xv. Require the retailer to monitor the behaviour and consumption during their participation in the financial hardship program to ensure that they continue on the most appropriate tariff and facilitate a change if necessary." – There is no similar provision in clause 71A(1), ERC V11.  There are two subparagraphs 1(a) to 71A.  Clause 71A(1)(a) refers to section 48G of the Gas Industry Act. It should read 48G(2).		
\ C	Approval and variation of customer hardship policy	We note that clause 71B is drawn from sections 45(1) and (2), 43(3), (4) and (5), NERL with additional clauses inserted from Guideline No. 21.  Clause 71B(3)(b), ERC V11 appears to mirror section 43(3)(b), NERL. However section 43(3)(b)(iv) - "maintain and implement the policy" – is missing from clause 71B(3)(b), ERC V11.  Section 71B(6), ERC V11, partly reflects clause 2.4, Guideline No. 21. It omits the first part of clause 2.4, Guideline No. 21 – "The Commission expects retailers to periodically review their financial hardship policies in accordance with normal business practice." A similar requirement should be	43(3)(b)(iv), NERL in clause	Action by the ESC

		included in clause 71B since retailers should periodically review their hardship policies to ensure it meets customers' needs.  Clause 71B(1)(b)(i), ERC V11, should refer to section 48I(2), Gas Industry Act rather than 48I.  Clause 71B(b)(ii), ERC V11, should refer to the Electricity Industry Act and not Electricity Act.		
72	Payment plans	Clause 71B(1A), ERC V11 restricts access to payment plans to hardship customers and customers experiencing payment difficulties who self-identify or who have been identified by their retailer as experiencing payment difficulties. As mentioned before, we strongly believe that there should be universal access to payment plans.  Clause 72(1), ERC V11 sets out the factors which a retailer has to consider in setting up a payment plan for a "hardship customer" – i. customer's capacity to pay; ii. any arrears owing by the customer; and iii. the customer's expected energy consumption needs over the following 12 month period; iv. Include an offer for the customer to pay for their energy consumption in advance or in arrears by instalment payments – these considerations should apply to all customers offered a payment plan and not just	(definition of payment plan) and 33, ERC V11.  Action 101: Clause 72(1), ERC V11 should apply to all customers on a payment plan not merely to hardship customers. Ensure clause 12.1, ERC V10 is reflected in ERC V11.  Action 102: Address the structure of	No action by the ESC  We remain unclear as to why the Commission choose to retain payment plans under Section 3, Hardship as it acknowledges that not all customers on a payment plan are in hardship. As ESC has varied the ERC v11 to accommodate other changes to reach better outcomes for consumers, we see no barriers to affect this change.

		hardship customers.		
		narusinp customers.		
		In contrast, clause 12.2, ERC V10,		
		which sets out the requirements for		
		a payment plan; applies to all		
		customers and not just to		
		customers who are experiencing		
		hardship. Retailers have to		
		consider "the customer's		
		consumption needs and capacity to		
		pay,"make provision for re- calculating the amount of the		
		instalmentsmonitor the		
		customer's consumption"		
		casternor e concamption		
		From a purely structural perspective		
		it is inappropriate to put the section		
		on payment plans - clause 72, ERC		
		V11 – under Part 3: Customer		
		Hardship. Not every customer on a		
70.4	D. I. I	payment plan is in hardship.	A - 1 100 Ot 70 A	
72A	Debt recovery	We note that clause 72A, ERC V11 is drawn from section 51, NERL	<b>Action 103:</b> Strengthen clause 72A – by reflecting clause 11.4(a), ERC	
		and clause 11.4(c), ERC V10.	V10; provide for universal access to	No action by the ESC
		and clause 11.4(c), E110 v10.	payment plans; ensure that retailers	No action by the 200
		Clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 provides	consider capacity to pay, amount of	Potential issue: There is no provision for limiting
		that a retailer; "may not commence	arrears, estimated consumption etc	
		legal proceeding for recovery of a		
		debt from a domestic customer	customers on a payment plan (not	
		unless and until the retailer has	just hardship customers).	
		complied with all applicable		
		requirements of clause 11.2."		
		The above requirement is not		
		reflected in clause 72A, ERC V11.		
		Clause 72A(b)(ii) prohibits a retailer		
		from commencing proceedings for		
		debt recovery where the retailer has		
		failed to "comply with the		

requirements of this Electricity Industry Act and this Code relating to non-payment of bills, payment plans and assistance to hardship customers or residential customers experiencing payment file. (Inc.) (					
Industry Act or Gas Industry Act and this Code relating to non-payment of bills, payment plans and assistance to hardship customers experiencing payment difficulties" – this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2, ERC to our comments on clause 11.2, ERC to our comments on clause 11.2, ERC to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailler from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailler to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailler in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that			requirements of this Electricity		
and this Code relating to non- payment of bills, payment plans and assistance to hardship customers or residefulal customers experiencing payment difficulties"— this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that			Industry Act or Gas Industry Act		
payment of bills, payment plans and assistance to hardship customers or residential customers experiencing payment difficulties"—this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104; Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			and this Code relating to non-		
assistance to hardship customers or residential customers experiencing payment difficulties"— this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that  No action by the ESC					
or residential customers experiencing payment difficulties"—this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2, ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2, ERC V10 (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2, ERC V11 (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			·		
this sub-clause does not replicate clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			experiencing payment difficulties"-		
clause 11.4(a), ERC V10 (which refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
refers to clause 11.2 since there is no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailler from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
no equivalent to clause 11.2, ERC 10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11  Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that  No action by the ESC					
10 in ERC V11. (Please refer to our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
our comments on clause 11.2 at clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
previously mentioned ERC V11 limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			clause 33, ERC V11). Further, as		
limits payment plans to hardship customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
customers and those with payment difficulties.  Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11 prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			difficulties.		
prohibits a retailer from commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
commencing proceedings for debt recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			Clause 72A(b)(a), ERC V11		
recovery where the "customer continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			prohibits a retailer from		
continues to adhere to the terms of a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			commencing proceedings for debt		
a payment plan or other agreed payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			recovery where the "customer		
payment arrangement." Unless a customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			continues to adhere to the terms of		
customer's capacity to pay, arrears amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			a payment plan or other agreed		
amount and energy consumption is taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			payment arrangement." Unless a		
taken into account (currently, clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			customer's capacity to pay, arrears		
clause 72(1), ERC V11 requires a retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			amount and energy consumption is		
retailer to take these matters into account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			taken into account (currently,		
account for a hardship customer only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
only) by the retailer in setting up a payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
payment plan, clause 72A(b)(a), is not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
not going to offer the customer much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
much protection from debt recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
recovery.  74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC					
74 Payment by Clauses 32(2) and 74, ERC V11 Action 104: Amend ERC V11 so that No action by the ESC			much protection from debt		
Centrepay   limits payment by Centrepay to   all customers have access to	74	Payment by	. ,		No action by the ESC
		Centrepay	limits payment by Centrepay to	all customers have access to	

	(SRC and MRC)	"hardship customers."  As a matter of principle, all customers should be offered payment by Centrepay – it is a preventative measure to debt; it helps customers manage their ongoing payments.	Centrepay.	
Division 1	Preliminary			
108	Definitions	ERC V11 uses the term "Deenergisation" and "Re-energisation" while ERC V10 uses the term "Disconnection" and "Reconnection." "Disconnection" and "reconnection" are terms which consumers are more familiar with rather than "De-energisation" and "Re-energisation." In addition, disconnection" is also a term which is found in the Electricity Industry Act 2000 and Gas Industry Act 2001, in the context of wrongful disconnection payment. We note that the Commission's publications including performance reports, uses the term "Disconnections" and "reconnections." We suggest that these same terms be used in ERC V11.	Action 105: Use the terms "Disconnections" and "Reconnections" throughout ERC V11 instead of "De-energisation" and "Re-energisation."	No action by the ESC
111	De-		We are concerned with the reliance	No action by the ESC
	energisation	111 has failed to fully consider the	of this clause on payment plans that	

	for not paying bill	context of the clause that has been copied across from ERC V10. In particular, clause 111 (3) has only partially captured the intent of ERC V10 clause 13.2 which has been drafted explicitly with reference to smart meters.  As a result, clause 111 of ERC V11 does not adequately address the needs of those consumers with smart meters.	Without ensuring payment plans take into consideration capacity to pay, the requirement for retailers to offer payment plans prior to deenergisation is undermined by the prospect of those payment plans being unaffordable by consumers, likely resulting in high incidences of de-energisation.  Action 106: Ensure ERC V11 includes consideration of capacity to pay in the development of payment plans.  Action 107: Ensure drafting of this clause fully considers the context of the 'equivalent' clause in ERC V10 13.2 (b) and ensure it is reflected in ERC V11.	considered request of consumer groups or EWOV in relation to additional protections (in line with ERC v10) around payment plans, and obligations to offer two payment plans, prior to disconnection.  With increasing energy prices and problems we see with payment plans and disconnection currently, this constitutes a diminution of consumer protections.
113	De- energisation for denying access to meter	Retailer not required to use 'best endeavours' to offer reasonable alternative arrangements.	Action 108: Define "best endeavours" and require it be used in relation to offering reasonable alternative arrangements.	
114	De- energisation for illegally using energy	Clause 29(a), ERC V10 provides that where there is illegal consumption, "the retailer may estimate the consumption for which the customer has not paid and take debt recovery action for the entire unpaid amount." This is a completely different approach to what is provided for in clause 114, ERC V11 where a customer can be disconnected immediately.	Action 109: Amend clause 114, ERC V11 along the lines of clause 29(a) ERC V10.  Further we note that this clause recognises it applies to standard retail contracts and market retail contracts. We suggest that if the use is illegal that there is no contract. Application of this clause to contract types is therefore redundant.	

117	Timing of de-	We note the Commission's	Action 110: It is unclear what	No action by the ESC
	energisation	observations that there will be no	payment process/periods will apply	
	where dual	requirement to maintain a separate	for dual fuel customers.	Potential issue: This fails to recognise the
	fuel contract	regime for dual fuel customers in		number of dual fuel customers in Victoria.
		the new draft instrument.		

## **Table 2: Model Contract**

In reading **Table 2**, please refer to the comments we have made in the **earlier part of the** submission for the relevant ERC V11 clauses which we have identified in **Table 1**.

ERC V11 Model Terms for Standard Retail Contracts (SRC)	ERC V11 Clause	Model Contract Term Section	Issues identified / comments  Joint consumer response and required action by ESC	Status at DRAFT DECISION
Clause 4.2(a)(i), SRC	Clause 70 ERC V11	When does this contract end?	Clause 24.1(b), ERC V10 – termination with 28 days notice from customer  Clause 4.2(a)(i), ERC V11 – date for termination has to be agreed with the retailer.  The above is an example of how ERC 11 is weighed in favour of retailers.  Action 111: Protect a customer's right to terminate the contract unilaterally.	No action by the ESC
Clause 4.3, SRC	Clause 35, ERC V11	Request for final bill	Clause 35(1), ERC V11 – "If a customer requests the retailer to arrange for the preparation and issue of a final bill for the customer's premises, the retailer must use its best endeavours to arrange for: (a) a meter	No action by the ESC

	(SRC)	Vacating your premises	reading and (b) the preparation and issue of a final bill for the premises in accordance with the customer's request."  Clause 4.3, SRC – "(a) if you are vacating your premises, you must provide your forwarding address to us for your final bill in addition to a notice under clause 4.2(a)(i) of this contract. (b) When we receive the notice, we must use our best endeavours to arrange the reading of the meter on the date specified in your notice (or as soon as possible after that date if you do not provide access to your meter on that date) and send a final bill to you at the forwarding address stated in your notice. (c) you will continue to be responsible for charges for the premises until your contract ends in accordance with clause 4.2 of this contract."  The two clauses do not reflect each other – clause 4.3 SRC is wider than clause 35, ERC V11  Action 112: The terms in the model SRC need to be based on corresponding provisions in the ERC V11.	
Clause 6.1, SRC	Clause 18, ERC	Pre-contractual request to designated retailer for sale of energy (SRC)	Clauses 18(3)(a) & (b), ERC V11 is specific as to the type of information which a customer needs to provide his/her retailer when they buy energy under a SRC – acceptable identification,	No action by the ESC

	V11	Consideration of credit history	contact details for billing. Clause 39(1)(a), ERC V11 allows the retailer to also ask for information relating to a customer's credit history in deciding whether a security deposit is appropriate.	
		Your general obligations	Clause 6, SRC is however, widely drafted as a customer is required to "give [the retailer] any information [the retailer] reasonably require for the purposes of this contract."	
	Clause 39, ERC V11		The above is an example of how ERC 11 is weighed in favour of retailers.	
			Action 113: The type of information needed should be clearly articulated in the model SRC.	
Clause 7, SRC	Clauses 51 & 52, ERC V11 – only applies to MRC	Liabilities and immunities; Immunities  Our liability	Clause 7(b) SRC states that "to the extent permitted by law, [the retailer gives] no condition, warranty, or undertaking, and [they] make no representation to [the customer] about the condition or suitability of energy, its quality, fitness for purpose of safety, other than those set out in this contract."  Clause 51, ERC V11 provides that "a retailer must not include any term or condition in a market retail contract with a small customer that limits the liability of the retailer for breach of the	No action by the ESC  ESC to clarify that the omission of those clauses in the Draft Energy Retail Code V11 does not mean that retailers are able to limit their liability vis-a-vis the customer or to seek an indemnity from their customers.
			contract or negligence by the retailer."	

Clause 52, ERC V11 provides that "a retailer must not include any term or condition in a market retail contract with a small customer under which the customer indemnifies the retailer, so that the retailer may recover from the customer an amount greater than the retailer would otherwise have been able to recover at general law for breach of contract or negligence by the customer in respect of the contract." Clause 7(b) SRC does not appear to be reflected in ERC V11. Clauses 51 and 52, ERC V11 apply to MRCs only and thus is not in the model SRC. This is in contrast to clauses 16 (no limitation of liability and 17 (indemnity), ERC V10 which applies to both standing offers and market contracts as these clauses cannot be varied. This is a significant diminution of consumer protections especially for SRC customers. **Action 114:** Ensure that equivalent provisions to clauses 16 and 17, ERC V10 apply to SRC and MRC. Reflect this in ERC V11 and the model SRC.

Clause 8.3, SRC	Clause 38, ERC V11	Variation of tariff due to change of use	Clause 8.3(a), SRC states, "if a change in your use of energy means you are no longer eligible for the particular tariff you are on, we may transfer you to a new tariff under our standing offer prices (a) if you notify us there has been a change of use — from the date of notification"  Clause 38(2), ERC V11 states, "where a small customer notifies a retailer of a change in use of the customer's premises, the retailer may require the customer to transfer to a tariff applicable to the customer's use of that premises with effect from the date on which the retailer notifies the customer of the new tariff."  The wording in clause 8(3), SRC suggests that the relevant date is the date on which the customer notifies the retailer of the change in use.  The wording in clause 38(2), ERC V11, however, suggests that the relevant date is the date on which the retailer notifies the customer of the new tariff.  The above clauses are inconsistent and require clarification.  How does clause 8.3(c), SRC connect with the rest of clause 8.3?  Action 115: Clarify what applies — clauses 8.3(a), SRC and 38(2), ERC V11.	Action by ESC  Amendment of clause 8(3) to clarify that the date is the date the retailer notifies customer of the new tariff.
			0.3(a), 0.10 and 00(2), 2.10 v 1 1.	

		Action 116: Clarify the intent of clause 8.3(c), SRC and correct the typo.	
Clause 8.6, SRC	GST	Clause 8.6(a), SRC provides that, "amounts specified in the standing offer prices from time to time and other amounts payable under this contract may be stated to be exclusive or inclusive of GST"	Action by ESC  ESC has clarified provision.
		There is no GST provision in ERC V11. However, clause 15B(7)(c), ERC V11 states that, "each price and product information statement must adhere to the following format requirements: all monetary amounts must be shown on both a GST-exclusive and GST-inclusive basis"	
		Thus, it appears that clause 8.6(a), SRC is inconsistent with clause 15B(7)(c), ERC V11.	
		Action 117: Align clause 8.6, SRC with clause 15B(7)(c), ERC V11.	

Clause 9.3, SRC	Clause 21, ERC	Estimation as basis for bills	The smart meter specific provisions in clause 21(2A), ERC V11 have been omitted from the model SRC.	Partial action by ESC
	V11	Estimating the energy usage	Clause 9.3, SRC allows estimations if the customer consents. If estimation is to be used as a basis for a bill, the customer's explicit informed consent should be required.	Amendment of clause 9(3)(a) to insert requirement that retailers obtain a customer's explicit informed consent.
			Clause 9.3, SRC (which is intended to reflect clause 5.2(5) ERC V11) – both these clauses weigh heavily in favour of the retailer – any "actions" of the customer which results in an unsuccessful meter read would result in the customer incurring cost if the customer subsequently request for an actual read. The wording of the clauses gives retailers much discretion in determining what constitutes an "action".	
			Action 118: Include smart meter specific provision in model SRC.	
			Action 119: The need for "explicit informed consent" should be included in the model SRC, in the context of using estimations for bills.	
			Action 120: Refer to our earlier comments under clause 21, ERC V11	

Clause 0.4	Clauses	Historical billion information	Without common ting on the cotual or start	No action by 500
Clause 9.4, SRC	Clause 28, ERC V11	Historical billing information  Your historical billing information'	Without commenting on the actual content, from a consistency perspective, none of the clauses included by the ESC in clause 28, ERC V11 are reflected in clause 9.4, SRC.	No action by ESC
			Action 121: Limits customer's right to access historical billing information and metering data. Refer to our earlier comments under clause 28, ERC V11	
Clause 9.5, SRC	Clause 23, ERC V11	Bill smoothing Bill smoothing	Clause 9.5, SRC needs to reflect that a customer's explicit informed consent is required before bill smoothing. Not just "where you agree". Clause 23(2), ERC V11 refers to a customer's explicit informed consent.	No action by ESC
			Action 122: Insert the requirement for explicit informed consent in clause 9.5, SRC.	
Clause 10.4, SRC		Late payment fees [Not Used]	It is important to include in the SRC a provision stating that retailers cannot charge their customers late payment fees.	No action by ESC
			Action 123: Include provision in SRC	

			prohibiting retailers from charging customers late payment fees.	
Clause 12.1, SRC	Clause 30, ERC V11	Undercharging	Clause 12.1, SRC should specify that the amount recovered will be separately itemised in the bill together with an explanation. This is a requirement under clause 30(2)(c), ERC V11.	No action by ESC
		Undercharging	Clause 30(2A), ERC V11, which was inserted by the ESC has not been reflected in the model SRC.	
			Action 124: Amend clause 12.1, SRC to align with clauses 30(2)(c) and 30(2A), ERC V11.	
Clause 12.2, SRC	Clause 31, ERC V11	Overcharging	The drafting of clause 12.2, SRC suggests that the default option for the retailer is to credit the overcharged amount to the customer's next bill, rather than seeking the customer's instructions.	Action by ESC  ESC has clarified provision
		Overcharging	On the other hand, clause 31(2)(b), ERC V11, states that "if there is no such reasonable direction (from the customer), credit the amount to the next bill"	
			Both clauses are unaligned.	

			Action 125: Amend clause 12.2, SRC to reflect the intent of clause 31(2)(b), ERC V11.	
Clause 12.3, SRC	Clause 29, ERC V11	Billing disputes  Reviewing your bill	Clause 29(5)(b), ERC V11 states that "if the small customer requests that, in reviewing the bill, the meter reading or metering data be checked or the meter tested: the customer must pay for the cost of the check or test (which the retailer may not request be paid in advance."  Clause 12.3, SRC states that "[the retailer] may request payment in advance" if the customer asks for a "check of the meter reading or metering data or for a test of the meter in reviewing the bill."	Inconsistency between Draft Decision and Model Terms and Conditions  ESC's Draft Decision (page 161) provides that clause 12.3 will be amended to state that the customer may be liable for the cost of the meter check. The Draft Decision does not, however, capture the point that retailers cannot ask customers to pay upfront for the meter test.
			Both provisions contradict each other.	
			The SCR also does not state that appropriate adjustments would be made to a customer's bill following a bill review – this is stated in clause 29(6), ERC V11.	
			Action 126: Amend clause 12.3, SRC such	

			that is aligns with clause 29(5)(b), ERC V11. Customers should not have to pay for the test in advance.  Action 127: Include a clause in the SRC to state that appropriate adjustments would be made following a bill review – as per clause 29(6), ERC V11.	
Clauses 13.1 to 13.4, SRC	Clauses 39 – 45, ERC V11	Customer retail contracts – security deposits  Security deposit; Interest on security deposits; Use of a security deposit; Return of security deposit	The model SRC is skimpy on what customer and retailers rights are in relation to security deposits – for e.g. it would be important to set out specifically when a security deposit may be requested of a customer (when there is an unsatisfactory credit history) and when it is prohibited (e.g.in hardship situations, and where a payment plan has not been offered before).	No action by ESC
			Clause 45(1), ERC V11 provides, "If a small customer has been required by a retailer to pay a security deposit, the retailer must repay to the small customer in accordance with the small customer's reasonable instructions the amount of the security deposit, together with accrued interest, 10 business days after the small customer"	

			Clause 13.4, SRC addresses the return of security deposit and accrued interest .to the customer. The wording suggests that the onus is on the customer to contact the retailer to provide instructions on how he/she would want to have the security deposit and accrued interest returned – "(b) if you do not give us reasonable instructions, we will credit the amount of the security deposit, together with any accrued interest, to your next bill."  In addition, clause 13.4, SRC does not provide for the 10 business day timeframe within which a retailer has to return the security deposit and accrued interest to the customer.  Clause 13.4, SRC does not align completely with clause 45, ERC V11.  Action 128: Amend clause 13.4, SRC to align with clause 45, ERC V11.	
Clause 14, SRC	Clauses 107- 118, ERC V11	De-energisation (or disconnection) of premises – small customers	The model SRC does not provide sufficient information to customers as to what their rights are in relation to disconnection. While the terms articulate the retailer's rights in certain situations to disconnect, they do not refer to a customer's right to payment plans, to receive a reminder notice and disconnection warning in	Partial action by ESC  Inclusion of a provision to state that retailers can disconnect customers when customer does not provide

Disconnection of supply	the form and in the times prescribed. These	acceptable identification.
1.,	are set out in clause 111, ERC V11.	Rights of retailers is
		emphasised while customer
		rights overlooked/unstated in
	Clause 14.1, SRC inadequately reflects when a retailer can disconnect a customer. For e.g. subclause (b) refers to disconnection for failure to provide a security deposit. The corresponding clause in ERC V11, clause 112b refers to security deposit as well refusal to provide acceptable identification as circumstances which could result in a customer being disconnected. The model SRC does not capture the latter.	the Model Terms and Conditions.
	Clause 14.1(e), SRC is widely drafted; a retailer is permitted to disconnect the customer's premises if "[the retailer is] otherwise entitled or required to do so under the Code or by law."  This is one example of how the model SRC weights heavily in favour of retailers. The inclusion of clause 14.1(e), SRC suggests that the situations set out in clauses 111 to 115, ERC V11, as to when disconnection is permitted are not exhaustive.	
	A significant omission from the SRC disconnection provisions is that none of the smart meter specific provisions relating to disconnection (which are in ERC V10) have	

been included. Given that Victorian consumers have/will have a smart meter, this is a real concern.

Clause 14.2, SRC refers to "relevant warning notice requirements...". It is unclear whether this refers to both reminder notices and disconnection warning notices; the terminology used in clauses 109 and 110, ERC V11.

Clause 14.3, SRC, inadequately sets out when a customer's premises cannot be disconnected. Clause 14.3(a), SRC covers only the protected period. There are other situations, set out in clause 116, ERC V11 where the retailer cannot disconnect; that is -. premises which have life support equipment (also stated in clause 124(1)(d) ERC V11), where the customer has a complaint with the retailer and/or energy ombudsman; adhering to a payment plan; where the amount owing is less than \$120 (excluding GST); where the customer has applied for a rebate, concession and is awaiting the outcome of that application; where the arrears relate to the supply of other goods and services other than the sale of energy. These are important customer protections which should be articulated in the SRC so customers are aware of what their rights are. In contrast, clause 14.3(b), SRC sets out what the retailer's rights are in relation to disconnecting during the protected period. It is unclear where subclauses (b)(i) to (iv), (vi) and (vii)), SRC have their basis - these subclauses do not appear in the disconnection provisions of ERC V11. Action 129: Amend model SRC to articulate customer's rights before disconnection. Action 130: Ensure that clause 14.1 SRC, is aligned with what is provided for in ERC V11. Action 131: Explain what the intent of clause 14.1 SRC is. Delete clause 14.1(e), SRC. Action 132: Include ERC V10 smart meter protections in the model SRC. Action 133: Clarify the drafting in the SRC. State that there is a prescribed form and times for reminder notices and disconnection warning notices. Action 134: Ensure that clause 14.2, SRC adequately reflect the situations in which a retailer cannot disconnect a customer's premises. Action 135: Explain which provisions in ERC V11, clause 14.3(b)(i) to (iv), (vi) and (vii), SRC, are based upon.

Clause 15, SRC	Clause 121, ERC V11	Re-energisation of premises  Reconnection after disconnection	Clause 121(2A), ERC V11 should be reflected in clause 15, SRC – "if a small customer whose premises have been de-energised is eligible for a Utility Relief Grant and within 10 business days of the de-energisation, applies for such a grant, then the small customer is to be taken by the retailer to have rectified the matter that led to the de-energisation."  Action 136: Reflect clause 121(2A), ERC V11 in clause 15, SRC	Partial action by ESC
	Clause 122A, ERC V11	Time for re-energisation	There are no clauses in the SRC which set out the reconnection times, including the reconnection times for customers with smart meters. This is a key term which should be included in a SCR.  Action 137: Ensure that reconnection times are included in the SRC.	Action by ESC
Clause 17, SRC		Notices and bills	There is no equivalent provision in ERC V11 to clause 17, SRC. Section 319, National Energy Retail Law (NERL) addresses "Giving of notices and other documents under Low or Rules". However section 319, NERL has not been reflected in ERC V11.	Action by ESC  Clarification by ESC. No amendment to clause 17

				Model Terms and Conditions.
			Action 138: Include a notice provision in ERC V11.	Section 319 of the National Energy Retail Law included in new clause 3F of the Draft Energy Retail Code (Version 11).
Clause 18, SRC		Privacy Act Notice	A retailer's obligations regarding privacy should be fleshed out in the SRC. Referring a customer to their website is inadequate as some customers do not have internet access. Not all retailers have privacy information prominently located on their websites, which means that some customers may not be able to access the information easily. Clause 18 SRC also presents the customer with the option of contacting the retailer's privacy officer for questions on privacy. This unrealistically assumes that the customer is aware of the contact details for the retailer's privacy officer.  Action 139: Privacy Act Notice clause in SRC needs to be fleshed out.	No action by ESC
Clause 19, SRC	Clause 29, ERC	Billing disputes  Small customer complaints and	Clause 19.1 SRC, states that a customer, "may lodge a complaint with [the retailer] in accordance with [their] standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures." The note to this	No action by ESC

	1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1		<u></u>
V11  Clause 50, ERC V11	dispute resolution information  Complaints and dispute resolution	subclause states that, "[the retailer's] standard complaints and dispute resolution procedures are published on [the retailer's] website." This process does not facilitate customer access to dispute resolution – for e.g. there is no indication that customers could call the retailer (on the number stated on their bill). It does not consider the fact that not all customers have internet access. This is yet another example on how the ERC V11 and SRC weighs heavily in favour of retailers, rather than consumers.	
		Clause 19.2, SRC refers to a customer's right to access the services of the energy ombudsman. We support customer access to the energy ombudsman. However, it is unclear which part of ERC V11 provides the basis for this provision.  • Clause 50 ERC V11, which is	
		the section on customer access to the energy ombudsman sits in Part 2, Division 7 – Market retail contracts particular requirements.  Clause 29(7), ERC V11 obliges	
		a retailer to inform a small customer of his/her right to "lodge a dispute with the energy ombudsman after completion of the retailer's review of a bill, where the customer is not satisfied with the retailer's decision in the review". Clause 29 applies to both SRC and MRC. The wording of subclause (7) and the heading of clause 29 – Billing, suggests that customers only have a right to access the	

		services of the energy ombudsman when they have an unresolved complaint about their bill. In no other cases, is access to the energy ombudsman possible.  Action 140: Amend clause 19.1, SRC to facilitate ease of access for customers wanting to lodge a complaint.  This is a serious structural issue with ERC V11 which needs to be addressed.	
Clause 20, SRC	Force Majeure	ERC V11 does not have a provision on force majeure, though clause 20, SRC, includes one.  Action 141: Since the SRC is, as we understand, meant to reflect ERC V11, ERC V11 should have a force majeure provision.	No action by ESC
Clause 22, SRC	Retailer of last resort event	While clause 22, SRC mentions retailer of last resort (RoLR), ERC V11 has no clauses on this, presumably because the NERR on which ERC V11 is based does not have any RoLR provisions as RoLR is addressed by Part 6, NERL.  Action 142: Include in ERC V11 a reference to	New clause 70B of Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11) incorporating clause 24.6 of Energy Retail Code (version 10) included.

		the Victorian RoLR provisions.	

We note that some SRC terms refer to the "Code" – e.g. clauses 4.2(a)(ii)(B)(vi), 13.1, 13.2, 14.1. It would be difficult for customers to know what the Code has to say in relation to their rights as there is no obligation on retailers to provide a copy of the Energy Retail Code to their customers. Clause 56(1), ERC V11 obliges a retailer to publish on their website, "a summary of the rights, entitlements and obligations of small customers, including the retailer's standard complaints and dispute resolution procedure and the contact details for the relevant energy ombudsman". Clause 56(3), ERC V11 states if a small customer "request information of the kind [referred to in subclause 1], the retailer must refer the customer to the retailer's website or provide the information to the customer." In contrast, clause 26.3, ERC V10, obliges a retailer to provide a customer a copy of the Energy Retail Code if the customer requests. This is another example of how ERC V11 and the SRC are drafted in a way which weighs heavily in favour of retailers. – No action by ESC. We are concerned that there is a great reliance on the internet as the exclusive/main source of information for customers in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11). As mentioned in our submission, this does not recognise that some customers do not have internet access.

## Harmonisation Project: Consequential Amendments to Victorian Energy Instruments Consultation Paper.

We are unsure what the last paragraph of page 8 consultation paper means – "The Commission proposes to amend licence conditions which require that each term or condition of the draft ERC V11 to be a term or condition with which a contract for the sale of electricity or gas must not be inconsistent, as it is no longer appropriate to provide that each term or condition of the draft ERC v11 is a term or condition with which a contract for the sale of electricity or gas must not be inconsistent." Could the ESC clarify the following?

- Does this mean that contracts can have terms and conditions which are inconsistent with the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11)? OR
- Does it mean that the model terms and conditions of a standard retail contract and a market retail contract need not contain all the provisions in the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11)?

## Operating Procedure Compensation for Wrongful Disconnection (OPCWD)

- Clause 2.3 of the OPCWD (page 17 consultation paper) The statement "The reference to clause 36.1 should be removed from the Operating Procedure as it has not been adopted in the draft ERCV11." There is no clause 36 in the current Energy Retail Code (version 10) though a reference to clause 36 is found in the manual.
- Clause 3.1 of the OPCWD (page 18 consultation paper) states as follows:

## "3.1 Interpretative guidance for particular clauses

In assessing the meaning of certain provisions in clauses 11.2, 13.1 or 13.2 of the Energy Retail Code (and equivalent provisions of retailers' terms and conditions of supply which reflect the code), regard must be had to the applicable interpretative guidance in the second column of Appendix A. The guidance given there is not a formal supplement to the Code to be applied in abstract without full regard to the circumstances, nor is it exhaustive (see clauses 5.2 and 6.4)."

The references to clauses 11.2, 13.1 and 13.2 of the current Energy Retail Code (version 10) will be replaced with clauses 33(1), 111, 112, 113 and 116 of the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11). Given that the payment difficulties and disconnection sections between both the current Energy Retail Code (version 10) and the Draft Energy Retail Code (version 11), we are concerned that the changes would impact the way wrongful disconnection payment is assessed. Could the Commission please clarify?