

To: <water@esc.vic.gov.au>
cc:
From: Tony Barnett
Date: 29/05/2012 08:07:24 AM
Subject: Proposed MW increases in Patterson Lakes Precept charges

**To: THE ESSENTIAL SERVICES COMMISSION OF VICTORIA <
water@esc.vic.gov.au >**

Attn: THE COMMISSIONER

Re: Melbourne Water's Special Drainage Areas Price Review 2012-13 - Submissions for this publication listed on your website -

21 submissions for Application - Melbourne Water's Special Drainage Areas Price Review/Changes

Dear Commissioner,

We the undersigned and all our family urge you to consider all these aspect and reject in total MW's proposals and at last deliver justice for all our Patterson Lakes, Quiet Lake Community.

One would expect a modicum of due diligence from MW, KCC and our State Government which embarrassingly has not been on display for the past 12 long years, i.e. in regard to the failure of their fiduciary duties, in regard to the PATTERSON LAKES WATER QUALITY DISASTER also in regard to a SERIOUS PUBLIC HEALTH issue concerning HAB's (Harmful Algal Blooms/ toxic BGA (Blue Green Algae) plus other most toxic Algae and this when airborne) and not honoring the Patterson Lakes Project Planning Permits.

We and our family endorse and fully, absolutely support these following submissions in no oder of priority:-

- Lake Lagana Residents/KCC Rate Payers
- Patterson Lakes (Quiet Lakes) Owners and Residents Inc.
- Graham Tonta

The Patterson Lakes, Quiet Lakes failing condition “The Patterson Lakes Water Quality Disaster”, as MLC Inga Peulich described it in the Vic State Parliament when in Opposition, is an undisputable measure of Melbourne Water's and KCC's unwillingness and/or incompetence to return the Quiet Lake System to its intended use i.e. recreational/swimmable water as per planning permit and its relevant conditions, which we are rightfully entitled to. The water quality in the Quiet Lakes System speaks for itself.

As keen observing members of our community and Patterson Lakes MW Precept Rate-Payer and Council Rate-Payers who have been taking up my own private actions, we cannot believe that MW would have the audacity to write letters the way they do, with so many inaccuracies. It only serves to verify that they give little or no importance to our concerns. In fact they, MW, could not care less what we, Precept Rate-payers/Stakeholders, say or think, what we are rightfully entitled to, and they, MW continue to treat us with contempt. They should be ashamed to put their names to such communications.

Thank you for your considerations

Best Regards

Respectfully

Tony and June Barnett

(29 May 2012)